arXiv:1704.05667v1 [math.GT] 19 Apr 2017

ON THE QUANTUM TEICHMÜLLER INVARIANTS OF FIBRED CUSPED 3-MANIFOLDS

STÉPHANE BASEILHAC[1], RICCARDO BENEDETTI[2]

[1] Institut Montpelliérain Alexander Grothendieck, CNRS, Université de Montpellier (sbaseilh@univ-montp2.fr)

[2] Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 5, 56127 Pisa, Italy (benedett@dm.unipi.it)

Abstract. We show that the reduced quantum hyperbolic invariants of pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphisms of punctured surfaces are intertwiners of local representations of the quantum Teichmüller spaces. We characterize them as the only intertwiners that satisfy certain natural QFT cut-and-paste operations and such that their traces define invariants of mapping tori.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Topological-combinatorial support 5
3. Background results 6
3.1. Classical hyperbolic geometry 6
3.2. Quantum hyperbolic geometry 8
3.3. Representations of the quantum Teichmüller spaces 11
3.4. Intertwiners of local representations 14
4. Proofs 15
4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1 15
4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2 19
References 21

1. Introduction

Let M be a *fibred cusped* 3*-manifold*, that is, an oriented non compact finite volume complete hyperbolic 3-manifold which fibers over S^1. In this paper we describe the relationships between the quantum hyperbolic invariants of M (QHI) ([4, 5, 6]), and the intertwiners of finite dimensional representations of the quantum Teichmüller space of S ([7, 8], [10]), where S is a fiber of some fibration of M over S^1. Recall that M is the interior of a compact manifold $\bar{M}$ with boundary made by tori, and that S is the interior of a compact surface with boundary $\bar{S}$, properly embedded in $\bar{M}$, so that $\bar{S} \cap \bar{M}$ is a family of 'meridian' curves on $\partial\bar{M}$.

The existence of such relationships has been expected for a long time, and their precise formulation often thought as depending only on the solution of a handful of technical problems. Let us recall the main arguments underlying this opinion. Let q be a primitive N-th root of unity, where N is odd. Then:

- The quantum Teichmüller space $\mathfrak{T}_S^q$ of S is a cluster algebra, identified with the Chekhov-Fock algebra $\mathfrak{T}_\lambda^q$ on any ideal triangulation λ of S. A representation ρ of $\mathfrak{T}_S^q$ is a suitable family of 'compatible' representations $\rho = \{\rho_\lambda : \mathfrak{T}_\lambda^q \to \mathrm{End}(V_\lambda)\}_\lambda$. The irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{T}_S^q$ were classified up to isomorphism in [7], and so-called *local representations* were introduced and classified in [8].

- The local representations are reducible, but more suited to perform the usual cut-and-paste operations of QFT. In this framework, it is natural to restrict to a distinguished family of intertwiners, satisfying some functorial properties with respect to the inclusion of triangulated subsurfaces. Theorem 20 of [8] proposed such a family, and argued that for every couple (ρ, ρ') of isomorphic local representations of $\mathfrak{T}_S^q$ and every couple (λ, λ') of ideal triangulations of S, there is a unique projective class of intertwiners from ρ_λ to $\rho'_{\lambda'}$ in the family. Let us call the elements of these classes *QT intertwiners*.
- The diffeomorphisms of S act on the local representations of $\mathfrak{T}_S^q$. Denote by ϕ the monodromy of some fibration of M over S^1. If a local representation ρ is isomorphic to $\phi^*\rho$ (an instance of ρ having this property is canonically associated to the hyperbolic holonomy of M [7, 8]), then the trace of a suitably normalized QT intertwiner between ρ_λ and $\phi^*\rho_\lambda$ should be an invariant of M (possibly depending on ϕ) and the isomorphism class of ρ.
- The QT intertwiners can be constructed from sequences of elementary intertwiners associated to the diagonal exchanges between ideal triangulations of S, and these were identified with the Kashaev $6j$-symbols in [2].
- The QHI of M can be defined by *QH state sums* over "layered" triangulations of M, that is roughly, 3-dimensional ideal triangulations that realize sequences of diagonal exchanges relating the surface ideal triangulations λ and $\phi(\lambda)$. The main building blocks of the QH state sums are tensors called *matrix dilogarithms*, which were derived in [3] from the Kashaev $6j$-symbols.

In conclusion, the QHI of M would coincide with the traces of QT intertwiners of local representations of $\mathfrak{T}_S^q$ (at least when M is equipped with the hyperbolic holonomy). Only a suitable choice of normalization of the QT intertwiners and an explicit correspondence between formulas would be missing.

Another fact pointing in the same direction is that both invariants depend on similar geometric structures. Every local representation ρ of $\mathfrak{T}_S^q$ defines an augmented character of $\pi_1(S)$ in $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$ [8], called its *holonomy*, which manifests itself as a compatible system of *(exponential) shear-bend* coordinates on every ideal triangulation λ of S. Moreover ρ has a *load* h_ρ, defined as a Nth-root of the product of the share-bend coordinates on any λ, and which does not depend on the choice of λ. The holonomy and the load classify ρ up to isomorphism. On another hand, the QHI of any cusped 3-manifold M incorporate a choice of augmented character r of $\pi_1(M)$ in $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$, lying in the irreducible component of the character variety containing the hyperbolic holonomy. If S is a fiber of a fibration of M over S^1, r can be recoved from its restriction to $\pi_1(S)$.

However, some key points of the theory of QHI did not appear in this context. Namely, the matrix dilogarithms are obtained from the Kashaev $6j$-symbols by rewriting them in a non trivial way as tensors depending on geometrically meaningful parameters (which are N-th roots of hyperbolic shape parameters), *but also* by applying a *symmetrization* procedure. This procedure is necessary to get the invariance of the QH state sums over any cusped 3-manifold. It involves several additional choices, and eventually forces to multiply the QH state sums by a normalization factor. It implies furthermore that the QHI must actually depend not only on the choice of an augmented character r of $\pi_1(M)$ in $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$, *but also* on some cohomological classes, called *weights*, which cannot be recovered from the holonomy of local representations of the quantum Teichmüller spaces. The weights gauge out the additional choices. Surprisingly, both the required normalization factor of the QH state sums and the weights did not appear in quantum Teichmüller theory.

Moreover, an issue about the uniqueness of the projective classes of QT intertwiners has been fixed recently. By a careful analysis of the definition of local representations, and adapting the construction of the QT intertwiners from [8], for every couple $(\rho_\lambda, \rho'_{\lambda'})$ as above, it is constructed in [10] a unique, minimal set $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda\lambda'}^{\rho\rho'}$ of projective classes of QT intertwiners from ρ_λ to $\rho'_{\lambda'}$, and a free transitive action

$$\psi_{\lambda\lambda'}^{\rho\rho'} \colon H_1(S;\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathcal{L}_{\lambda\lambda'}^{\rho\rho'} \to \mathcal{L}_{\lambda\lambda'}^{\rho\rho'}. \tag{1}$$

In particular, this set is far from being reduced to a point.

All this would suggest that the link between QHI and QT intertwiners is subtler than expected. On another hand, recently also the meaning of the QH normalization factor has been better understood.

In fact it is shown in [6] that, removing the normalization factor from the QH state sums of a cusped 3-manifold M, one gets finer invariants $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(M,r,\kappa;\mathfrak{s})$, called *reduced QH invariants*, well-defined up to multiplication by $4N$-th roots of unity. They depend on an augmented $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$-character r of $\pi_1(M)$, a class $\kappa\in H^1(\partial\bar{M};\mathbb{C}^*)$ such that κ^N coincides up to sign on generators with the trace of r on $\pi_1(\partial\bar{M})$ (κ is residual of the cohomological weights involved in the QHI), and an additional *non ambiguous structure* $\mathfrak{s}$ on M. When M fibers over S^1, a natural choice of $\mathfrak{s}$ is a fibration of M, say with monodromy ϕ. Let us denote by M_ϕ the realization of M as the mapping torus of ϕ, equipped with the corresponding fibration, and the reduced invariant $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(M,r,\kappa;\mathfrak{s})$ by $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(M_\phi,r,\kappa)$. Denote by S a fiber of M_ϕ. Rather than considering M_ϕ one can consider as well the mapping cylinder C_ϕ of ϕ. In this case, instead of a scalar invariant there is a *reduced QH-operator*

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})\in\mathrm{End}((\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m}),\quad m:=-\chi(S),$$

defined by means of QH state sums over layered *QH-triangulations* $(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ of C_ϕ, such that

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(M_\phi,r,\kappa)=\mathrm{Trace}(\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})). \tag{2}$$

Here, 'layered' means that the triangulation T_{C_ϕ} passes to the quotient, producing a layered triangulation of M_ϕ; $\mathbf{w}$ is a system of N-th roots of shape parameters solving the Thurston edge equations associated to the triangulation of M_ϕ induced by T_{C_ϕ}, and which actually encodes both r and κ; $\tilde{b}$ is a way of ordering the elements of $\mathbf{w}$ in each tetrahedron of T_{C_ϕ}, called *weak-branching* (see Section 3.2 for the complete definition of $(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$). Up to multiplication by $4N$-th roots of unity, $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ depends only on the trace of the tuple $(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ on the boundary components of C_ϕ.

Our results describe the relationships between the QH-operators $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ and the set $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda\lambda'}^{\rho\rho'}$ of QT intertwiners. For the statements let us introduce a few notations. Consider the *transposed operator*

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})^T=\iota^{\otimes 2m}\circ\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})\circ(\iota^{-1})^{\otimes 2m}$$

where $\iota\colon\mathbb{C}^N\to(\mathbb{C}^N)^*$, $e_i\mapsto e^i$, $\{e_i\}$ and $\{e^i\}$ being the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^N$ and $(\mathbb{C}^N)^*$. So, in the basis $\{e_{i_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes e_{i_{2m}}\otimes e^{j_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes e^{j_{2m}}\}_{i_1\ldots j_{2m}}$ of $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m}\otimes((\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m})^*$ the coefficients of these tensors are related by

$$(\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})^T)_{j_1\ldots j_{2m}}^{i_1\ldots i_{2m}}=\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})_{i_1\ldots i_{2m}}^{j_1\ldots j_{2m}}.$$

Let S be a punctured surface of negative Euler characteristic, ϕ a pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphism of S, M_ϕ the mapping torus of ϕ equipped with its fibration over S^1 with monodromy ϕ, and consider triples (M_ϕ,r,κ) and $(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ as above. Denote by λ, λ' the ideal triangulations of S given by the restriction to $S\times\{0\}$, $\phi(S)\times\{1\}$ of the (layered) triangulation T_{C_ϕ}.

Theorem 1.1. *(1) The QH-triangulation $(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ determines representations ρ_λ and $\rho_{\lambda'}$ of $\mathfrak{T}_\lambda^q$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{\lambda'}^q$ respectively, belonging to a local representation ρ of $\mathfrak{T}_S^q$ such that $\rho_{\lambda'}=\phi^*(\rho)_\lambda$, and acting both on $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m})^*$. The operator $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})^T\in\mathrm{End}(((\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m})^*)$ is a QT intertwiner between ρ_λ and $\rho_{\lambda'}$.*

(2) For any other choice of weak branching $\tilde{b}'$, $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b}',\mathbf{w})^T$ intertwins local representations canonically isomorphic to ρ_λ, $\rho_{\lambda'}$ respectively.

We call $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{\rho}:=\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b}',\mathbf{w})^T$ a *QHI intertwiner*.

Denote by $X_0(M)$ the (unique) irreducible component of the variety of augmented $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$-characters of M that contains the discrete faithful holonomy r_h. Denote by $X(S)$ the variety of augmented characters of S, and by $i^*\colon X_0(M)\to X(S)$ the restriction map.

Theorem 1.2. *(1) There is a neighborhood of $i^*(r_h)$ in $i^*(X_0(M))\subset X(S)$ such that, for any isomorphism class of local representations of $\mathfrak{T}_S^q$ whose holonomy lies in this neighborhood, there is a representative ρ of the class, representations ρ_λ, $\rho_{\lambda'}$ belonging to ρ, and a QHI intertwiner $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})^T$ intertwining ρ_λ and $\rho_{\lambda'}$ as above. The load of ρ is determined by the values of the weight κ on M_ϕ at the meridian curves that form $\bar{S}\cap\bar{M}$.*

(2) The family of QHI intertwiners $\{\mathcal{H}^{\rho}_{\lambda,\lambda'}\}$ *consists of the QT intertwiners from* ρ_λ *to* $\rho_{\lambda'} = \phi^*(\rho)_\lambda$ *whose traces are well defined invariants of triples* (M_ϕ, r, κ) *such that the restriction of* r *on* S *is the holonomy of* ρ*.*

These results have the following consequences.

Recall that every reducible representation is canonically a direct sum of its *isotypical* components (the maximal direct sums of isomorphic irreducible summands). Every intertwiner fixes globally each isotypical component. Then, we consider the *isotypical intertwiners*

$$L^{\phi}_{\rho_\lambda(\mu)} := \mathcal{H}^{red}_N(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}', \mathbf{w})^T_{|\rho_\lambda(\mu)}$$

obtained by restriction to the isotypical components $\rho_\lambda(\mu)$ of ρ_λ, associated to irreducible representations μ_λ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$. Any irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$ can be embedded as a factor of some local representation ([12]), and so has a corresponding isotypical component.

Now, by the results of [5, 6], the invariants $\mathcal{H}^{red}_N(M_\phi, r, \kappa)$ actually depend on the choice of mapping torus realization M_ϕ of M, and are defined for any augmented character r in a Zariski open subset of the eigenvalue subvariety of $X_0(M)$ (see below the comments on Theorem 1.2). Moreover, since $\mathcal{H}^{red}_N(M_\phi, r, \kappa) = \mathrm{Trace}(\mathcal{H}^{red}_N(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w}))$, they are determined by ϕ and the isomorphism class of the local representation ρ_λ defined by $\mathbf{w}$ on ∂T_{C_ϕ}. The latter class of representations is determined by its holonomy, which is the restriction of r on S, and by its load; the isotypical components are determined by the eigenvalues of the so-called *puncture elements* of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$, which are again determined by the values of the weight κ on M_ϕ at the meridian curves that form $\bar{S} \cap \bar{M}$. Finally, the invariance holds true as well for the traces of the isotypical intertwiners (by the same proofs).

Let us call *longitude* any simple closed curve in of $\partial \bar{M}_\phi$ intersecting a fiber of $\bar{M}_\phi$ in exactly one point. Formalizing the above observations, we get:

Corollary 1.3. *(1) The trace of* $L^{\phi}_{\rho_\lambda(\mu)}$ *is an invariant of* (M_ϕ, r, κ) *and* μ*, well-defined up to multiplication by* $4N$*-th roots of unity. It depends on the isotopy class of* ϕ *and satisfies*

$$\mathcal{H}^{red}_N(M_\phi, r, \kappa) = \sum_{\rho_\lambda(\mu) \subset \rho_\lambda} \mathrm{Trace}(L^{\phi}_{\rho_\lambda(\mu)}).$$

(2) The invariants $\mathrm{Trace}(L^{\phi}_{\rho_\lambda(\mu)})$ *do not depend on the values of the weight* κ *on longitudes.*

In perspective, as another application, by combining Theorem 1.1 and the results of [12], one can study the representations of the Kauffman bracket skein algebras defined by means of the QHI intertwiners.

Let us make a few comments on Theorem 1.1 and 1.2.

A key point of Theorem 1.1 (1) is to realize the matrix dilogarithms as intertwiners between local representations of the Chekhov-Fock algebra of an ideal square. To this aim we consider an operator theoretic formulation of the matrix dilogarithms. As they are related to the Kashaev $6j$-symbols (in a non trivial way), one could alternatively develop a proof based on Bai's result [2] mentioned above. However, it is not immediate. Bai's result deals with local representations up to isomorphism, and does not provide explicit relations between actual representatives. Also the relation between the Kashaev $6j$-symbols and the intertwiners of local representations is expressed in abstract terms, using the cyclic representations of the Weyl algebra, and not in terms of the eventual geometrically relevant parameters, the q-shape parameters (see Section 3.2).

Theorem 1.2 (1) holds true more generally for any isomorphism class of local representations whose holonomy lies in $i^*(B)$, where B is a determined Zariski open subset of the *eigenvalue* subvariety $E(M)$ of $X_0(M)$, introduced in [11]. Let us note here that $E(M) = X_0(M)$ if M has a single cusp, and in general, $r_h \in E(M)$, and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} E(M)$ equals the number of cusps of M. For simplicity, in this paper we restrict to characters in a neighborhood of $i^*(r_h)$ in $i^*(X_0(M))$. The general case is easily deduced from the results of [5].

Theorem 1.2 (2) describes the actual relationship between QHI and intertwiners of quantum Teichmüller theory addressed at the beginning of this introduction. It says that the invariance property of the QHI selects preferred elements in the set of all traces of QT intertwiners (which has no a priori

basepoints). Note that, the action (1) being transitive, it does not stabilizes the set of QHI intertwiners. The reduced QHI of M_ϕ provide, by construction, natural representatives in their projective classes of intertwiners, with the mild ambiguity by $4N$-th roots of unity factors. The unreduced QHI, in the same projective class, do not depend on the choice of the fibration of M_ϕ. The ratio unreduced/reduced QHI is a simpler invariant called *symmetry defect*, which can be used to study the dependence of the reduced QHI with respect to M_ϕ ([6]). Finally, the ambiguity of the invariants up to multiplication by $4N$-th roots of unity may not be sharp (see [5] for some improvements in the case of the unreduced QHI).

The background material is recalled in Section 2 and 3. The proofs are in Section 4.

Remark about the parameter q. In this paper we denote by q an arbitrary primitive N-th root of unity, where $N \geq 3$ is odd. Our orientation conventions used to define the quantum Teichmüller space, in the relations (12) and (14), imply that q corresponds to q^{-1} in the litterature of quantum Teichmüller theory, eg. in [7] or [10]. We describe in Remark 3.4 the relationships between q and our choices of parameters in the theory of QHI ([4, 5, 6]). Our choice of q is motivated by Thurston's relations between shape parameters in hyperbolic geometry; in the quantum case, this choice gives the most natural form to the tetrahedral and edge relations between q-shape parameters (see Section 3.2).

2. Topological-combinatorial support

We fix a compact closed oriented smooth surface S_0 of genus g, and a subset $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\} \subset S_0$ of $r \geq 1$ marked points. We denote by S the punctured surface $\mathrm{S}_0 \setminus P$. We assume that

$$m := -\chi(S) = (2g-2) + r > 0.$$

A diffeomorphism $\phi_0 : S_0 \to S_0$ such that $\phi_0(p_j) = p_j$ for every j induces a diffeomorphism $\phi : S \to S$. Consider the cylinder $C_0 := S_0 \times [0,1]$ with the product orientation. Denote by M_0 the mapping torus of ϕ_0 with the induced orientation. That is, $M_0 := C_0/\!\sim_{\phi_0}$, where $(x,0) \sim_{\phi_0} (y,1)$ if $y = \phi_0(x)$, $x, y \in S_0$. Let $\hat{M}_0$ be the space obtained by collapsing to one point x_j the image in M_0 of each line $p_j \times [0,1]$ in C_0. Then $\hat{M}_0$ is a pseudo-manifold; $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ is the set of non manifold points of $\hat{M}_0$. The link of each point x_j is a 2-torus, so $M = \hat{M}_0 \setminus X$ is the interior of a compact manifold $\bar{M}$ with boundary formed by r tori. In fact M is the mapping torus $M_\phi = C/\!\sim_\phi$, where $C = S \times [0,1]$. From now on, we denote by M_ϕ the manifold M endowed with this fibration over S^1, and by C_ϕ the mapping cylinder of ϕ.

Consider the set of triangulations λ of S_0 whose set of vertices coincides with P. By removing P, such a triangulation λ is also said an *ideal triangulation* of S; it has $3m$ edges and $2m$ triangles. Denote by $\lambda' = \phi_0(\lambda)$ the image ideal triangulation of S. Let us fix a chain of diagonal exchanges connecting λ to λ' (it exists for every λ, λ'):

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 \to \lambda_1 \to \cdots \to \lambda_k = \lambda'.$$

We can assume that this chain is "full", in the sense that every edge of λ supports some diagonal exchange in the chain. Then the chain defines a triangulation T of $\hat{M}_0$, whose tetrahedra are obtained by "superposing", for every j, the two squares of λ_j, λ_{j+1} involved in the diagonal exchange $\lambda_j \to \lambda_{j+1}$ (see Figure 1). The set of vertices of T coincides with X. The ideal triangulation $T \setminus X$ is called a *layered triangulation* of M_ϕ. It lifts to a layered triangulation T_{C_ϕ} of the cylinder C_ϕ.

Fo each tetrahedron of T, we call *abstract tetrahedron* its underlying simplicial set considered independently of the face pairings in T. Similarly we call abstract edge or face of T any edge or face of an abstract tetrahedra of T. Given any edge e of T, we write $E \to e$ to mean that an abstract edge E is identified to e in the triangulation T.

From now on we assume that the diffeomorphism ϕ of S is *pseudo-Anosov*, so that M_ϕ is a hyperbolic manifold with r cusps. In this case any chain $\lambda \to \ldots \to \lambda' = \phi_0(\lambda)$ as above is full.

By construction every (ideally triangulated) surface (S, λ_j) is embedded in T and T_{C_ϕ}. The union of the surfaces (S, λ_j) forms the 2-skeletons of T and T_{C_ϕ}. Every surface (S, λ_j) divides M_ϕ locally,

and the given orientations of S and M_ϕ determines the (local) *positive side* of S in M. In terms of T_{C_ϕ}, the positive side of $(S,\lambda) = (S,\lambda_0)$ lifts to a collar of $S\times\{0\}$, while the *negative* side of $(S,\lambda') = (S,\lambda_k)$ lifts to a collar of $S\times\{1\}$.

The triangulation T is naturally endowed with a *taut pre-branching* ω. Let us recall briefly this notion (see [6]). A taut pre-branching is a choice of transverse co-orientation for each 2-face of T, so that for every tetrahedron Δ_j, exactly two of its 2-face co-orientations point inward/outward Δ_j; moreover, it is required that there are exactly two abstract diagonal edges $E\to e$ for every edge e of T. Here, we call *diagonal edges* the two edges of an abstract tetrahedron whose adjacent 2-face co-orientations point both inward or both outward. The taut pre-branching ω of T is defined by the system of transverse 2-face co-orientations dual to the orientation of the embedded surfaces (S,λ_j).

We fix also a *weak branching* $\tilde{b}$ *compatible with* ω (see [5]). Recall that $\tilde{b}$ is a choice of vertex ordering b for each abstract tetrahedron of T, called *(local) branching*, satisfying the following constraint. The abstract 2-faces of the tetrahedron have an orientation induced by b, given by their vertex orderings up to even permutations. Then, it is required that these orientations match, under the 2-face pairings, with the orientations dual to ω (note that we do not require that the abstract vertex orderings match: this defines the stronger notion of *global branching*). Similarly, $\tilde{b}$ gives an orientation to every tetrahedron; without loss of generality, we can assume that it agrees with the orientation of M. A weak branching $\tilde{b}_j$ is also defined on every surface triangulation λ_j, by giving every triangle τ in λ_j the branching induced by the tetrahedron lying on the positive side of τ.

In Figure 1 we show a typical *local* configuration occurring in T or T_{C_ϕ}, that is, a tetrahedron Δ, represented under an orientation preserving embedding in $\mathbb{R}^3$, built by gluing squares Q, Q' along the boundary, carrying triangulations λ, λ' related by a diagonal exchange. The orientation of Δ agrees with the standard orientation of $\mathbb{R}^3$, and the 2-face co-orientations that define the pre-branching ω are dual to the counter-clockwise orientation of the four faces of Δ. We have chosen one branching b of Δ (any edge being oriented from the lowest to the biggest endpoint) among the two which are compatible with ω and induce the positive orientation. The 2-faces are ordered as the opposite vertices are, accordingly with b.

This picture will be used to support all computations. In such a situation we will denote by e_0 the edge $[v_0,v_1]$, by e_1 the edge $[v_1,v_2]$, and by e_2 the edge $[v_0,v_2]$.



FIGURE 1.

3. Background results

3.1. **Classical hyperbolic geometry.** Let M be any cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold. Denote by $X(M)$ the variety of *augmented characters* of M. Let us recall the definition. Consider the set of pairs $(r,\{\xi_\Gamma\}_{\Gamma\in\Pi})$, where $r\colon \pi_1(M)\to PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$ is a group homomorphism, Π is the set of peripheral subgroups of $\pi_1(M)$ (associated to the boundary tori T_i^2 of $\bar{M}$, for all choices of base points of $\pi_1(T_i^2)$ and $\pi_1(M)$ and paths between them), and for every $\Gamma\in\Pi$, $\xi_\Gamma\in\mathbb{C}P^1$ is a fixed point of $r(\Gamma)$ such

that the assignment $\Gamma \to \xi_\Gamma$ is equivariant with respect to the action of $\pi_1(M)$ on Π by conjugation and on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ via r by Moebius transformations. The set $\{(r, \{\xi_\Gamma\}_{\Gamma\in\Pi})\}$ is a complex affine algebraic set $R(M)$, with an action of $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$ defined on a pair $(r, \{\xi_\Gamma\}_\Gamma)$ by conjugation on r and Moebius transformation on $\{\xi_\Gamma\}_\Gamma$. Then, $X(M)$ is the algebro-geometric quotient of $R(M)$ by $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$, that is, the set of closed points of the ring of invariants $\mathbb{C}[R(M)]^{PSL(2,\mathbb{C})}$.

Similarly we denote by $X(S)$ the variety of augmented characters of a surface S.

In the set up of Section 2, If $M = M_\phi$ and S is a fibre of the fibration, then the inclusion map $i\colon S = S \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow M_\phi$ induces a regular map

$$i^*\colon X(M_\phi) \to X(S).$$

Since $\pi_1(M_\phi)$ is a HNN-extension of $\pi_1(S)$, every augmented character of S that may be extended to M_ϕ is a fixed point of the map $\phi_*\colon X(S) \to X(S)$ induced by the map $r \mapsto r \circ \phi$ on representations. That is, $\overline{i^*(X(M_\phi))}$ is a subvariety of $\mathrm{Fix}(\phi)$.

Let us recall a few facts about the *gluing variety* $G(T, \tilde{b})$, that is, the set of solutions of the Thurston gluing equations supported by $(T, \tilde{b})$. It is a complex affine algebraic set of dimension $\geq r$. The points of $G(T, \tilde{b})$ are classically called *systems of shape parameters*. Their coordinates, the shape parameters, are scalars in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0, 1\}$ associated to the abstract edges of T. The shape parameters of opposite edges of a tetrahedron are equal, and the cyclically ordered triple of shape parameters of a tetrahedron encodes an isometry class of hyperbolic ideal tetrahedra. A point $w \in G(T, \tilde{b})$ determines a pseudo-developing map $F_w : \tilde{M}_\phi \to \mathbb{H}^3$, where $\tilde{M}_\phi$ is the universal cover of M_ϕ, and F_w is well-defined up to post-composition with an orientation-preserving isometry of $\mathbb{H}^3$. The map F_w sends homeomorphically the edges of $\tilde{T}$ to complete geodesics, and it satisfies $F_w(g\tilde{x}) = r_w(g)F_w(\tilde{x})$ for all $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}_\phi$, $g \in \pi_1(M_\phi)$, where $r_w\colon \pi_1(M_\phi) \to PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$ is a homomorphism. So w encodes the conjugacy class of r_w. In fact, F_w determines also some r_w-equivariant set $\{\xi_\Gamma\}_{\Gamma\in\Pi}$ as above, so that eventually the map $w \mapsto r_w$ can be lifted to a regular "holonomy" map

$$hol\colon G(T, \tilde{b}) \to X(M_\phi).$$

We have (see Proposition 4.6 of [5] when M_ϕ has a single cusp, and Remark 1.4 of [6] for the general case):

Proposition 3.1. *There is a subvariety A of $G(T, \tilde{b})$ of dimension r, and a point $w_h \in A$, such that $hol(w_h)$ is the hyperbolic holonomy of M_ϕ, and $hol_{|A}$ is a homeomorphism from a Zariski open subset of A containing w_h onto its image.*

In particular, every point $w \in A$ encodes an augmented character of M_ϕ; the algebraic closure of $hol(A)$ is the *eigenvalue* subvariety $E(M_\phi)$ of $X_0(M_\phi)$, the irreducible component of $X(M_\phi)$ containing the discrete faithful holonomy r_h (see [11]). If M_ϕ has a single cusp, then $E(M_\phi) = X_0(M_\phi)$; in general $E(M_\phi)$ contains r_h and has complex dimension equal to the number of cusps of M_ϕ.

It follows from Proposition 3.1 that a point of $i^*(X_0(M_\phi)) \subset X(S)$ close enough to $i^*(r_h)$ is encoded by a point $w \in A$.

As mentioned above, a system of shape parameters $w \in G(T, \tilde{b})$ can be viewed as a labelling of the abstract edges E of T by numbers $w(E)$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Opposite edges have equal shape parameters, and the following two sets of relations are satisfied. For every branched tetrahedron, set $w_j := w(E_j)$ (see before Figure 1 for the ordering of the edge E_j). For every edge e of T, define the *total shape parameter* $W(e)$ as the product of the shape parameters $w(E)$, where $E \to e$. Then we have:

- *(Tetrahedral relation)* For every tetrahedron and $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $w_{j+1} = (1 - w_j)^{-1}$.
- *(Edge relation)* For every edge e of T, $W(e) = 1$.

From now on, we consider only systems of shape parameters w lying in the subvariety A of $G(T, \tilde{b})$. Denote by $(T, \tilde{b}, w)$ the layered triangulation T endowed with the weak branching $\tilde{b}$ and the labelling of the abstract edges of T by a system of shape parameters w. For every $j = 0, \ldots, k$, consider the ideally triangulated surfaces (S, λ_j) embedded into $(T, \tilde{b}, w)$ as in Section 2. For every edge e of λ_j, define the *lateral shape parameter* $W_j^+(e)$ as the product of the shape parameters of the abstract edges $E \to e$ carried by the tetrahedra lying on the *positive* side of (S, λ_j).

Lemma 3.2. *For any edge e of λ_j, the (exponential) shear-bend coordinate of e defined by any pleated surface $F_{w|\tilde{S}}$ (ie. any lift $(\tilde{S},\tilde{\lambda}_j)$ of (S,λ_j) to $\tilde{M}_\phi$, and any pseudo-developing map $F_{w|\tilde{S}}\colon \tilde{S}\to\mathbb{H}^3$), coincides with the parameter $x^j(e):=-W_j^+(e)$.*

The proof follows from the definitions (see eg. [7]). Note that $x^0(e)=x^k(\phi_0(e))$ for every edge e of $\lambda=\lambda_0$. Also, the shear-bend coordinate of any edge $\tilde{e}$ of $\tilde{\lambda}_j$ is eventually 'attached' to the corresponding edge e of λ_j, because for different choices of $\tilde{S}$ or F_w the images of $F_{w|\tilde{S}}$ differ only by an hyperbolic isometry.

Remarks 3.3. The parameter $x^j(e)$ is the *opposite* of $W_j^+(e)$ because the (oriented) bending angle along an edge of λ_j is traditionally measured by the external dihedral angle $\pi-\theta$ (see eg. [7, 8]), whereas the shape parameters use the internal dihedral angle θ. So $\theta=0$ when two adjacent ideal triangles $F(\tau)$ and $F(\tau')$ coincide, for triangles τ, τ' of $(\tilde{S},\tilde{\lambda}_j)$.

3.2. **Quantum hyperbolic geometry.** Recall that $N\geq 3$ is an odd integer, and q a primitive N-th root of unity.

We begin with a few general features of the *reduced quantum hyperbolic state sum* $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T,\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$, defined in [5, 6]. First, $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T,\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ is a regular rational function defined on a covering of the gluing variety $G(T,\tilde{b})$; the points of this covering are certain systems $\mathbf{w}$ of N-th roots of the shape parameters $w(E)$, denoted by $\mathbf{w}(E)$ and called *quantum shape parameters*. They satisfy the following properties. For every branched tetrahedron of $(T,\tilde{b})$ put $\mathbf{w}_j:=\mathbf{w}(E_j)$ (with the edge ordering fixed before Figure 1). For every edge e of $(T,\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$, define the *total quantum shape parameter* $\mathbf{W}(e)$ as the product of the quantum shape parameters of the abstract edges $E\to e$. Then we have:

- *(Tetrahedral relation)* For every tetrahedron, $\mathbf{w}_0\mathbf{w}_1\mathbf{w}_2=-q$.
- *(Edge relation)* For every edge e of T, $\mathbf{W}(e)=q^2$.

For simplicity we will work with systems of quantum shape parameters $\mathbf{w}$ such that the corresponding systems of shape parameters w lie in a simply connected open neighborhood $A_0\subset A\subset G(T,\tilde{b})$ of w_h (the general case of systems $\mathbf{w}$ with arbitrary $w\in A$ is described in [5]). For every such a system $\mathbf{w}$, there is a constant $\mathbb{Z}$-labelling d of the abstract edges E of T such that for every point $w\in A_0$, we have

$$\mathbf{w}(E)=\exp\left(\frac{1}{N}(\log(w(E))+\pi i(N+1)d(E)\right). \tag{3}$$

Note that A_0 is chosen so that $\mathbf{w}(E)$ varies continuously with $w\in A_0$. The $\mathbb{Z}$-labelling d satisfies obvious compatibility relations corresponding to the above tetrahedral and edge relations, and for any point $w\in A_0$, any $\mathbb{Z}$-labelling d satisfying these relations defines a system of quantum shape parameters by the formula (3). We call d a *fused flat/charge* over A_0, and $(T,\tilde{b},w,d)$ or $(T,\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$, for every point $w\in A_0$, a *QH-triangulation* of M_ϕ.

Remark 3.4. In [4, 5, 6] we solved the existence problem of QH-triangulations of M_ϕ in the special case $q=-\exp(-i\pi/N)$, which we denoted as $\zeta^{-(m+1)}$, where $\zeta=\exp(2i\pi/N)$ and $N=2m+1$. In particular, for such a q we obtained the fused flat/charges d by setting $d(E):=f(E)-*_bc(E)$, where f and c are certain (simpler) $\mathbb{Z}$-labellings of the abstract edges E of T, called *flattening* and *charge*, that one constructs at first, and the abstract edge E belongs to a tetrahedron of T whose $\tilde{b}$-orientation has sign $*_b=\pm 1$ (ie. $+1$ if it agrees with the orientation of M_ϕ and -1 otherwise). In the general case of an arbitrary odd ordered N-th root of unity q, this method of constructing systems of quantum shape parameters $\mathbf{w}$, and hence all the results of [4, 5, 6], hold as well after one modifies the definition of d as follows. If $q=\exp(2i\pi p/N)$ with p coprime to N, and $\bar{p}\in\mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $(m+1)\bar{p}=-p \bmod(N)$, ie. $\bar{p}=-2p \bmod(N)$, then for any given systems of shape parameters w, flattening f and charge c on $(T,\tilde{b})$, the fused flat/charges are defined by

$$d(E):=f(E)-*_b\bar{p}c(E).$$

Denote by $A_{0,N}$ the set of systems of quantum shape parameters $\mathbf{w}$ defined by (3) for all possible choices of $w\in A_0$ and fused flat/charge d over A_0. Fix a basis $(l_1,m_1),\dots,(l_r,m_r)$ of $\pi_1(\partial\bar{M}_\phi)$, and

use it to identify $H^1(\partial\bar{M}_\phi;\mathbb{C}^*)$ with $(\mathbb{C}^*)^r$. Recall that any augmented character $[(r,\{\xi_\Gamma\}_\Gamma)]\in X(M_\phi)$ associates a determined *squared* eigenvalue of r to any simple closed curve on $\partial\bar{M}_\phi$. The invariance properties of $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T,\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ in the case of systems of quantum shape parameters $\mathbf{w}\in A_{0,N}$ can be summarized as follows (see [5] and [6]).

Theorem 3.5. *(1) There exists a determined regular rational map $\kappa_N\colon A_{0,N}\to(\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ such that $\kappa_N(A_{0,N})$ is the open subset of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ made of all the classes $\kappa\in H^1(\partial\bar{M}_\phi;\mathbb{C}^*)$ such that $\kappa(l_j)^N$ and $\kappa(m_j)^N$ are equal respectively to $\pm$ the squared eigenvalues of $[(r,\{\xi_\Gamma\}_\Gamma)]$ at l_j and m_j, where $[(r,\{\xi_\Gamma\}_\Gamma)]=hol(w)$ for some $w\in A_0$.*

(2) Given $r\in hol(A_0)$ and $\kappa\in H^1(\partial\bar{M}_\phi;\mathbb{C}^*)$ as above, the value of $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T,\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ is independent, up to multiplication by $4N$-th roots of unity, of the choice of $(T,\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ among all weakly branched layered triangulations of M_ϕ endowed with a system of quantum shape parameters $\mathbf{w}$ such that $hol(w)=[(r,\{\xi_\Gamma\}_\Gamma)]$ and $\kappa_N(\mathbf{w})=\kappa$.

We denote the resulting invariant by $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(M_\phi,r,\kappa):=\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T,\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$. By the results of [6], it actually depends on the fibration of M_ϕ.

We call *quantum weight* of r a class $\kappa\in H^1(\partial\bar{M}_\phi;\mathbb{C}^*)$ as in Theorem 3.5 (1). So, using the map κ_N, any quantum weight can be realized by a pair (w,d), for some choice of $w\in A_0$ and fused flat/charge d. The map κ_N is a lift of $j^*\circ hol_{|A_0}$, where j^* is the restriction map $X(M_\phi)\to X(\partial M_\phi)$. By fixing a choice of d, $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(M_\phi,r,\kappa)=\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T,\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ descends to a function of $r\in hol(A_0)$ only.

Here is a formula of $\kappa_N(\mathbf{w})(m_i)$, $m_i\in H_1(\partial\bar{M}_\phi;\mathbb{Z})$, that we will use later. Denote by ∂T the section of T on $\partial\bar{M}_\phi$; it is a triangulation of $\partial\bar{M}_\phi$. Represent m_i by an oriented simple closed curve on $\partial\bar{M}_\phi$, transverse to ∂T. The intersection of m_i with a 2-simplex F is a collection of oriented arcs. We can assume that none of these arcs enters and exits F by a same edge. Then, each one turns around a vertex of F. If Δ is a tetrahedron of T and $F=\Delta\cap\partial\bar{M}_\phi$, for every vertex v of F we denote by E_v the edge of Δ containing v, and write $m_i\to E_v$ to mean that some subarcs of m_i turn around v. We count them algebraically, by using the orientation of m_i: if there are s_+ (resp. s_-) such subarcs whose orientation is compatible with (resp. opposite to) the orientation of $\partial\bar{M}_\phi$ as viewed from v, then we set $ind(m_i,v):=s_+-s_-$. Then

$$\kappa_N(\mathbf{w})(m_i)=\prod_{m_i\to E_v}\mathbf{w}(E_v)^{ind(m_i,v)}. \tag{4}$$

In the case where m_i is a a positively oriented meridian curve of ∂M_ϕ, this gives

$$\kappa_N(\mathbf{w})(m_i)=\prod_{m_i\to E_v}\mathbf{w}(E_v). \tag{5}$$

Let us come now to the definition of $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T,\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$. We do it in a slightly more general setup. By cutting $(T,\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ along one of the surfaces (S,λ_j) (that is, a triangulated fibre of M_ϕ), we get a QH-triangulation $(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ of the cylinder C_ϕ. It carries a *QH-operator* $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})\in\mathrm{End}((\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m})$ such that

$$\mathrm{Trace}(\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w}))=\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T,\tilde{b},\mathbf{w}).$$

The QH-operator $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ is defined by means of tetrahedral and 2-face operators, as follows. We can regard $(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ as a *network of QH-tetrahedra* $(\Delta,b,\mathbf{w})$, with gluing data along the 2-faces. To each QH-tetrahedron $(\Delta,b,\mathbf{w})$ we associate a linear isomorphism called *basic matrix dilogarithm*,

$$\mathcal{L}_N(\Delta,b,\mathbf{w})\colon V_3\otimes V_1\to V_2\otimes V_0,$$

where V_j is a copy of $\mathbb{C}^N$ associated to the 2-face of (Δ,b) opposite to the vertex v_j. We recall a definition of $\mathcal{L}_N(\Delta,b,\mathbf{w})$ below. For the moment, note that V_1, V_3 correspond to the 2-faces with pre-branching co-orientation pointing inside Δ. Concerning the gluing data, every 2-face F of T is obtained by gluing a pair of abstract 2-faces. Denote by F_s and F_t the "source" and "target" 2-face of the pair, with respect to the transverse co-orientation defined by the pre-branching $\omega_{\tilde{b}}$. The identification $F_s\to F_t$ is given by an even permutation on three elements. So it can be encoded by

an element $r(F) \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. For every gluing $F_s \to F_t$ occuring at an internal 2-face F of T_{C_ϕ}, as well as to every 2-faces F on the boundary component $S \times \{1\}$ of C_ϕ, we associate the endomorphism

$$Q_N^{r(F)} : V_{F_s} \to V_{F_t} \tag{6}$$

defined in the standard basis $\{e_j\}$ of (the copies V_{F_s}, V_{F_t} of) $\mathbb{C}^N$ by

$$Q_N(e_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} q^{2kj+j^2} e_j.$$

By definition, the QH-operator $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w})$ is the total contraction of the network of tensors $\{\mathcal{L}_N(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w})\}_\Delta$ and $\{Q_N^{r(F)}\}_F$. In formulas:

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_s \prod_\Delta \mathcal{L}_N(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w})_s \prod_F Q_{N,s}^{r(F)} \tag{7}$$

where the sum ranges over all maps $s\colon \{\text{abstract 2-faces of } T\} \cup \{\text{2-faces of } S \times \{1\}\} \to \{0, \dots, N-1\}$ (the *states* of $(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w})$), and $\mathcal{L}_N(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w})_s$, $Q_{N,s}$ denote the entries of the tensors $\mathcal{L}_N(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w})$, Q_N selected by s, when the tensors are written in the canonical basis $\{e_j\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^N$. Note that the domain of the map s contains two copies of each 2-face F in $S \times \{1\}$, which correspond to the domain and target spaces V_{F_s}, V_{F_t} of $Q_N^{r(F)}$.

Remark 3.6. There are systems of quantum shape parameters $\mathbf{w}$, $\mathbf{z}$ having a common classical support $(T, \tilde{b}, w)$ and quantum weight κ, but different fused flat/charges. So the cylinder tensors $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w})$, $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{z})$ may differ, though by Theorem 3.5 they have the same trace.

Here is a definition of the basic matrix dilogarithms (it is a straightforward rewritting of formula (32) in [4]). Actually, it is the transposed tensor $\mathcal{H}_N^{red}(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w})^T$ that occurs in Theorem 1.1, so we consider $\mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w})$ instead. Recall that $\mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w})\colon V_3^* \otimes V_1^* \to V_2^* \otimes V_0^*$, where $V_j^* = (\mathbb{C}^N)^*$ is canonically identified to $\mathbb{C}^N$. Define endomorphisms A_0, A_1, $A_2 \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ by

$$A_0 e_k = q^{2k} e_k,\ A_1 e_k = e_{k+1},\ A_2 e_k = q^{1-2k} e_{k-1}. \tag{8}$$

Note that $A_0 A_1 A_2 = q\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^N}$. Put

$$g(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} (1 - xq^{2j})^{j/N}, h(x) = \frac{g(x)}{g(1)}$$

$$\Upsilon = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=0}^{N-1} q^{-2ij-j} A_0^i \otimes (A_1 A_0)^{-j}.$$

For any triple of quantum shape parameters $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)$ and any endomorphism U such that $U^N = -\mathrm{Id}$, set

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(U) = h(\mathbf{w}_0) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} U^i \prod_{j=1}^{i} \frac{-q^{-1}\mathbf{w}_2}{1 - \mathbf{w}_0^{-1} q^{2j}}$$

where by convention we set the product equal to 1 when $i = 0$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w}) = \Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(-A_0 A_1 \otimes A_1^{-1}) \circ \Upsilon. \tag{9}$$

Later we will need the following elementary fact, which belongs (in a different form) to Faddeev-Kashaev [9].

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathbf{w}_0$, $\mathbf{w}_2$ be complex numbers such that $\mathbf{w}_2^N = 1 - \mathbf{w}_0^{-N}$. Then $\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(U)$ defined as above is the unique solution up to scalar multiplication of the functional relation*

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(q^2 U) = (\mathbf{w}_0 + q^{-1}\mathbf{w}_0\mathbf{w}_2 U)\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(U). \tag{10}$$

Proof. The hypothesis on $\mathbf{w}_0$, $\mathbf{w}_2$ implies that the summands of $\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(U)$ are periodic in i, with period N. Hence we get the same sum if i ranges from 1 to N. With this observation, the fact that $\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(U)$ solves equation (10) follows from a simple computation. Up to scalar multiplication this equation has a unique solution on the eigenspaces of U, which is diagonalizable. □

In particular, when $(\mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)$ is a triple of quantum shape parameters we have

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(q^2U) = (\mathbf{w}_0 - \mathbf{w}_1^{-1}U)\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(U). \tag{11}$$

The entries of $\mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w})$ in the basis $\{e^k \otimes e^l\}_{k,l}$ of $(\mathbb{C}^N)^* \otimes (\mathbb{C}^N)^*$ are as follows. Identify $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ with $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$. For every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ set $\delta(a) = 1$ if $a \equiv 0 \bmod(N)$, and $\delta(a) = 0$ otherwise. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, consider the function $\omega(x, y|n)$ defined on the curve $\{(x, y \in \mathbb{C}^2|\ x^N + y^N = 1\}$ by

$$\omega(x, y|0) = 1, \omega(x, y|n) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{y}{1 - xq^{-2j}},\ n \geq 1.$$

Then, for every $i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w})_{i,j}^{k,l} = h(\mathbf{w}_0)q^{-2kj-k^2}\omega(\mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_1^{-1}|i-k)\delta(i+j-l).$$

3.3. **Representations of the quantum Teichmüller spaces.** Unless stated differently, the results recalled in this section are proved in [7, 8] or [10].

Let λ be an ideal triangulation of S, and q as above. Put $n := 3m$ and fix an ordering $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of the edges λ. For all distinct i, j set $\sigma_{ij} := a_{ij} - a_{ji} \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$, where a_{ij} is the number of times e_i is on the *right* of e_j, using the orientation of S. The *Chekhov-Fock algebra* $\mathfrak{T}_\lambda^q$ is the algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with generators $X_i^{\pm 1}$ associated to the edges e_i, and relations

$$X_iX_j = q^{2\sigma_{ij}}X_jX_i \tag{12}$$

When $q = 1$, $\mathfrak{T}_\lambda^1$ is just the algebra of Laurent polynomials $\mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$, which is the ring of functions on the *classical* (enhanced) Teichmüller space generated by the exponential shear-bend coordinates on λ.

The algebra $\mathfrak{T}_\lambda^q$ has a well-defined fraction algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{T}}_\lambda^q$, and any diagonal exchange $\lambda \to \lambda'$ induces an isomorphism of algebras

$$\varphi_{\lambda\lambda'}^q : \hat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\lambda'}^q \to \hat{\mathfrak{T}}_\lambda^q.$$

The above definition of $\mathfrak{T}_\lambda^q$ works as well for any ideally triangulated punctured compact oriented surface S, possibly with boundary, where each boundary component is a union of edges. In particular, take S the ideal triangulated squares Q, Q' in Figure 1. Number the edges of their triangulations λ, λ' as in Figure 2. In such a situation $\varphi_{\lambda\lambda'}^q$ has the form

$$\begin{array}{lll} \varphi_{\lambda\lambda'}^q(X_5') = X_5^{-1} & & \\ \varphi_{\lambda\lambda'}^q(X_1') = (1 + qX_5)X_1 & , & \varphi_{\lambda\lambda'}^q(X_3') = (1 + qX_5)X_3 \\ \varphi_{\lambda\lambda'}^q(X_2') = (1 + qX_5^{-1})^{-1}X_2 & , & \varphi_{\lambda\lambda'}^q(X_4') = (1 + qX_5^{-1})^{-1}X_4. \end{array} \tag{13}$$

It is proved in [1] (see also Theorem 1.22 in [10]) that this case of the ideal square determines, for *any* punctured compact oriented surface S, a unique family $\{\varphi_{\lambda\lambda'}^q\}_{\lambda\lambda'}$ of algebra isomorphisms $\varphi_{\lambda\lambda'}^q : \hat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\lambda'}^q \to \hat{\mathfrak{T}}_\lambda^q$ defined for all ideal triangulations λ and λ' of S, if the family satisfies certain natural properties with respect to composition, diffeomorphisms of S, and decomposition into ideally triangulated subsurfaces.

Given any ideal triangulations λ, λ' of S and finite dimensional representation $\rho_\lambda : \mathfrak{T}_\lambda^q \to \mathrm{End}(V_\lambda)$, one says that $\rho_\lambda \circ \varphi_{\lambda\lambda'}^q$ *makes sense* if for every generator $X_i' \in \mathfrak{T}_{\lambda'}^q$ the element $\varphi_{\lambda\lambda'}^q(X_i')$ can be written as $P_iQ_i^{-1} \in \hat{\mathfrak{T}}_\lambda^q$, where P_i, $Q_i \in \mathfrak{T}_\lambda^q$ and $\rho_\lambda(P_i)$, $\rho_\lambda(Q_i)$ are invertible endomorphisms of V_λ. In such a case, $\rho_\lambda \circ \varphi_{\lambda\lambda'}^q(X_i') = \rho_\lambda(P_i)\rho_\lambda(Q_i)^{-1}$ is well-defined (ie. independent of the choice of the pair (P_i, Q_i)).

By definition, the *quantum Teichmüller space* of S is the quotient set $\mathfrak{T}_S^q := (\coprod_\lambda \hat{\mathfrak{T}}_\lambda^q)/\sim$, where $\sim$ identifies the Chekhov-Fock algebras $\hat{\mathfrak{T}}_\lambda^q$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\lambda'}^q$ by $\varphi_{\lambda\lambda'}^q$, for all ideal triangulations λ, λ' of S. A



FIGURE 2.

representation of $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$ is a family of representations

$$\rho := \{\rho_\lambda : \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda \to \mathrm{End}(V_\lambda)\}_\lambda$$

indexed by the set of ideal triangulations of S, such that $\rho_\lambda \circ \varphi^q_{\lambda\lambda'}$ makes sense for every λ, λ' and is isomorphic to $\rho_{\lambda'}$. In fact, it is enough to check the isomorphisms $\rho_{\lambda'} \cong \rho_\lambda \circ \varphi^q_{\lambda\lambda'}$ whenever λ and λ' differ by a flip.

3.3.1. *Local representations.* The irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$ were classified up to isomorphism in [7]. The *local* representations of $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$ are special *reducible* representations defined as follows (see [8, 10]). Define the *triangle algebra* $\mathcal{T}$ as the algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with generators $Y_0^{\pm 1}$, $Y_1^{\pm 1}$, $Y_2^{\pm 1}$ and relations

$$Y_0Y_1 = q^2Y_1Y_0,\ Y_1Y_2 = q^2Y_2Y_1,\ Y_2Y_0 = q^2Y_0Y_2. \tag{14}$$

Fix an ordering $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{2m}$ of the triangles of λ. Order the abstract edges e^j_0, e^j_1, e^j_2 of τ_j so that the induced cyclic ordering is counter-clockwise with respect to the orientation of S. Associate to τ_j a copy $\mathcal{T}_j$ of the algebra $\mathcal{T}$, with generators denoted by Y^j_0,Y^j_1,Y^j_2, so that Y^j_i is associated to the edge e^j_i. There is an algebra embedding

$$\mathfrak{i}_\lambda : \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda \to \mathcal{T}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2m} \tag{15}$$

defined on generators by (we denote a monomial $\otimes_j A_j$ in $\otimes_j \mathcal{T}_j$ by omitting the terms $A_j = 1$):

- If e_i is an edge of two distinct triangles τ_{l_i} and τ_{r_i}, and $e^{l_i}_{a_i}$, $e^{r_i}_{b_i}$ are the edges of τ_{l_i}, τ_{r_i} respectively identified to e_i, then $\mathfrak{i}_\lambda(X_i) := Y^{l_i}_{a_i} \otimes Y^{r_i}_{b_i}$.
- If e_i is an edge of a single triangle τ_{k_i}, and $e^{k_i}_{a_i}$, $e^{k_i}_{b_i}$ are the edges of τ_{k_i} identified to e_i, with $e^{k_i}_{a_i}$ on the right of $e^{k_i}_{b_i}$, then $\mathfrak{i}_\lambda(X_i) := q^{-1}Y^{k_i}_{a_i}Y^{k_i}_{b_i} = qY^{k_i}_{b_i}Y^{k_i}_{a_i}$.

A representation ρ_λ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$ is *local* if

$$\rho_\lambda = (\rho_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho_{2m}) \circ \mathfrak{i}_\lambda : \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda \to \mathrm{End}(V_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{2m})$$

for some irreducible representations $\rho_j : \mathcal{T} \to \mathrm{End}(V_j)$ of $\mathcal{T}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, 2m\}$. So, a local representation is an equivalence class of tuples $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{2m})$, where two tuples are equivalent if their restrictions to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{i}_\lambda(\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{T}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2m}$ define the same representation. Two local representations $\rho_\lambda = (\rho_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho_{2m}) \circ \mathfrak{i}_\lambda$ and $\rho'_\lambda = (\rho'_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho'_{2m}) \circ \mathfrak{i}_\lambda$ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$ are isomorphic if there are linear isomorphisms $L_j : V_j \to V'_j$ such that for every $j = 1, \dots, 2m$ and $Y \in \mathcal{T}_j$ we have

$$L_j \circ \rho_j(Y) \circ (L_j)^{-1} = \rho'_j(Y).$$

It is straightforward to check that this definition is independent of the choice of tuples $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{2m})$, $(\rho'_1, \dots, \rho'_{2m})$. By definition a local representation ρ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$ is a representation formed by local representations ρ_λ.

3.3.2. *Isomorphism classes and standard local representations.* The isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of the triangle algebra $\mathcal{T}$ are parametrized by tuples of non zero scalars $(y_0, y_1, y_2, h) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^4$ such that $h^N = y_0y_1y_2$. The parameter h is called the *load* of the class. The isomorphism class with parameters (y_0, y_1, y_2, h) can be represented by *standard* representations $\rho : \mathcal{T} \to \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$, which have the form

$$\rho(Y_i) = \mathbf{y}_i A_i,\ i \in \{0, 1, 2\} \tag{16}$$

where $\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfy

$$\mathbf{y}_i^N = y_i,\ i \in \{0, 1, 2\},\ h = \mathbf{y}_0\mathbf{y}_1\mathbf{y}_2$$

and A_0, A_1, A_2 are the endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^N$ defined in (8).

Any local representation of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$ has dimension N^{2m}. The isomorphism class of a local representation ρ_λ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$ is determined by:

- a non zero complex *weight* x_i associated to each edge of λ;
- a N-th root h of $x_1 \dots x_n$, called the *load*.

The weights x_i and the load h are such that

$$\rho_\lambda(X_i^N) = x_i \mathrm{Id}_{V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_{2m}},\ \rho_\lambda(H) = h\mathrm{Id}_{V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_{2m}} \tag{17}$$

where H is a central element of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$, called *the principal central element*, given by

$$H = q^{-\sum_{\iota<\iota'} \sigma_{\iota\iota'}} X_1 \dots X_n. \tag{18}$$

Note that $h^N = x_1 \dots x_n$. It is straightforward to check that two local representations are isomorphic if and only if they are isomorphic as local representations. We call $(\{x_i\}, h)$ the *parameters* of ρ_λ. We say that a local representation $\rho_\lambda = (\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{2m}) \circ \mathfrak{i}_\lambda$ is standard if every ρ_i is. This notion naturally extends to representations of $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$. Every point of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}$ can be realized as the parameters of a standard local representation of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of local representations $\{\rho_\lambda : \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda \to \mathrm{End}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_{2m})\}_\lambda$ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$ and families of parameters $\{(\{x_i\}_\lambda, h_\lambda)\}_\lambda$ such that

$$h_\lambda = h_{\lambda'} \text{ and } (x'_1, \dots, x'_n) = \varphi^1_{\lambda\lambda'}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \tag{19}$$

for any two ideal triangulations λ, λ' of S with edge weights $\{x_i\}$, $\{x'_i\}$ and loads h_λ, $h_{\lambda'}$ respectively. The edge weights x_i of a local representation $\rho_\lambda : \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda \to \mathrm{End}(V_\lambda)$ define its "classical shadow"

$$sh(\rho_\lambda) : \mathfrak{T}^1_\lambda \to \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C})$$

by taking the restriction of ρ_λ to the subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm N}, \dots, X_n^{\pm N}] \cong \mathfrak{T}^1_\lambda$ of the center of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$. This notion extends immediately to local representations $\rho = \{\rho_\lambda : \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda \to \mathrm{End}(V_\lambda)\}_\lambda$ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$; the classical shadow

$$sh(\rho) = \{sh(\rho_\lambda) : \mathfrak{T}^1_\lambda \to \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C})\}_\lambda$$

is a representation of the coordinate ring $\mathfrak{T}^1_S$ of the Teichmüller space (considered as a rational manifold with transition functions $\varphi^1_{\lambda\lambda'}$). Every representation of $\mathfrak{T}^1_S$ is the shadow of N local representations of $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$. The shadows of local representations encode the Zariski open subset of $X(S)$ made of the so-called *peripherically generic characters*. These include all the augmented characters of injective representations.

The load h has the following geometric interpretation. Let $[(r, \{\xi_\Gamma\}_{\Gamma\in\Pi})]$ be the augmented character of S determined by $sh(\rho)$. Each $\Gamma \in \Pi$ is a group generated by the class in $\pi_1(S)$ of a small loop m_j in S going once and counter-clockwise around the j-th puncture, for some $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and some choice of basepoints. Since $\pi_1(S)$ is a free group, $r\colon \pi_1(S) \to PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ can be lifted to a homomorphism $\hat{r}\colon \pi_1(S) \to SL(2, \mathbb{C})$; the fixed point $\xi_\Gamma \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is then contained in an eigenspace of $\hat{r}(m_j)$, corresponding to an eigenvalue $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$h^N = (-1)^r a_1 \dots a_r. \tag{20}$$

Finally, the decomposition into irreducible summands of a local representation ρ_λ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$ is ([12])

$$\rho_\lambda = \oplus_{\rho_\lambda(\mu)\subset\rho_\lambda}\ \rho_\lambda(\mu) \tag{21}$$

where the sum ranges over the set of all spaces $\rho_\lambda(\mu)$ formed by intersecting the eigenspaces of the so-called (central) *puncture elements* of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$. Each space $\rho_\lambda(\mu)$ is also the *isotypical* component of an irreducible representation μ_λ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$, that is, the direct sum of all irreducible summands of ρ_λ isomorphic to μ_λ. Every irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$ has an isotypical summand appearing in some local representation, each having multiplicity N^g.

3.4. **Intertwiners of local representations.** Let (S,λ) and q be as before. Any surface R obtained by splitting S along some (maybe all) edges of λ inherits an orientation from S, and an ideal triangulation μ from λ. By gluing along edges backwards, one says that (S,λ) is obtained *by fusion* from (R,μ). In such a case, every local representation $\eta_\mu = (\eta_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \eta_{2m}) \circ \mathfrak{i}_\mu$ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\mu$ determines a local representation ρ_λ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$ by setting $\rho_\lambda = (\eta_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \eta_{2m}) \circ \mathfrak{i}_\lambda$. One says that η_μ *represents* ρ_λ.

Given local representations $\eta = \{\eta_\mu \colon \mathfrak{T}^q_\mu \to \mathrm{End}(W_\mu)\}_\mu$, $\rho = \{\rho_\lambda \colon \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda \to \mathrm{End}(V_\lambda)\}_\lambda$ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_R$ and $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$ respectively, one says that ρ *is obtained by fusion from* η if η_μ represents ρ_λ for all ideal triangulations μ of R, where λ is the ideal triangulation of S obtained by fusion from μ.

In [10], the following result is proved. The intertwiners $L^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'} \in \mathcal{L}^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}$ in the statement are called QT *intertwiners*.

Theorem 3.8. *There exists a collection* $\{(\mathcal{L}^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}, \psi^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'})\}$*, indexed by the couples of isomorphic local representations* $\rho = \{\rho_\lambda \colon \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda \to \mathrm{End}(V_\lambda)\}_\lambda$, $\rho' = \{\rho'_\lambda \colon \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda \to \mathrm{End}(V'_\lambda)\}_\lambda$ *of* $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$ *and by the couples of ideal triangulations* λ, λ' *of* S*, such that:*

(1) $\mathcal{L}^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}$ *is a set of projective classes of linear isomorphisms* $L^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'} \colon V_\lambda \to V'_{\lambda'}$ *such that for every* $X' \in \mathfrak{T}^q_{\lambda'}$ *we have*

$$L^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'} \circ (\rho_\lambda \circ \varphi^q_{\lambda\lambda'})(X') \circ (L^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'})^{-1} = \rho'_{\lambda'}(X').$$

(2) $\psi^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'} \colon H_1(S;\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathcal{L}^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'} \to \mathcal{L}^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}$ *is a free transitive action.*

(3) Let R *be a surface such that* S *is obtained by fusion from* R*. Let* $\eta = \{\eta_\mu \colon \mathfrak{T}^q_\mu \to \mathrm{End}(W_\mu)\}_\mu$, $\eta' = \{\eta'_\mu \colon \mathfrak{T}^q_\mu \to \mathrm{End}(V'_\mu)\}_\mu$ *be two local representations of* $\mathfrak{T}^q_R$ *such that* ρ, ρ' *are obtained respectively by fusion from* η, η'*. Then, for every ideal triangulations* μ, μ' *of* R*, if* λ, λ' *are the corresponding ideal triangulations of* S*, there exists an inclusion map* $j \colon \mathcal{L}^{\eta\eta'}_{\mu\mu'} \to \mathcal{L}^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}$ *such that for every* $L \in \mathcal{L}^{\eta\eta'}_{\mu\mu'}$ *and every* $c \in H_1(R;\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ *the following holds:*

$$(j \circ \psi^{\eta\eta'}_{\mu\mu'})(c, L) = \psi^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}(\pi_*(c), j(L))$$

where $\pi \colon R \to S$ *is the projection map.*

(4) For every isomorphic local representations ρ, ρ', ρ'' *of* $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$ *and for every ideal triangulations* λ, λ', λ'' *of* S *the composition map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'} \times \mathcal{L}^{\rho'\rho''}_{\lambda'\lambda''} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}^{\rho\rho''}_{\lambda\lambda''} \\ (L_1, L_2) & \longmapsto & L_2 \circ L_1 \end{array}$$

is well-defined, and for all c, $d \in H_1(S;\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ *it satisfies*

$$\psi^{\rho'\rho''}_{\lambda'\lambda''}(d, L_2) \circ \psi^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}(c, L_1) = \psi^{\rho\rho''}_{\lambda\lambda''}(c + d, L_2 \circ L_1).$$

It is also proved in [10] that any collection of intertwiners satisfying a weak form of conditions (3) and (4) (not involving the actions $\psi^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}$) contains the collection $\{\mathcal{L}^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}\}$. So the latter is minimal with respect to these conditions.

Note that property (3) describes the behaviour of the intertwiners in $\mathcal{L}^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}$ under cut-and-paste of subsurfaces along edges of λ, λ'. In particular, it implies that they can be decomposed into elementary intertwiners as follows. Any ideal triangulations λ, λ' of S can be connected by a sequence $\lambda = \lambda_0 \to \ldots \to \lambda_{k+1} = \lambda'$ consisting of k diagonal exchanges followed by an edge reindexing $\lambda_k \to \lambda_{k+1}$ (with same underlying triangulation). Then, any projective class of intertwiners $[L^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}] \in \mathcal{L}^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}$ can be decomposed as

$$L^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'} = L^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda'\lambda'} \circ L^{\rho\rho}_{\lambda_k\lambda_{k+1}} \circ \cdots \circ L^{\rho\rho}_{\lambda_0\lambda_1} \tag{22}$$

where $L^{\rho\rho}_{\lambda_i\lambda_{i+1}} \in \mathcal{L}^{\rho\rho}_{\lambda_i\lambda_{i+1}}$ intertwins ρ_{λ_i} and $\rho_{\lambda_{i+1}} \circ (\varphi^q_{\lambda_i\lambda_{i+1}})^{-1}$, related by the i-th diagonal exchange, for every $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, and $L^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda'\lambda'}$ intertwins $\rho_{\lambda'}$ and $\rho'_{\lambda'}$ on the triangulation λ'. In general the intertwiner $L^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}$ depends on the choice of sequence $\lambda = \lambda_0 \to \ldots \to \lambda_{k+1} = \lambda'$, but the set $\mathcal{L}^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}$ and the action $\psi^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}$ do not.

4. Proofs

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We organize the proof in several steps:

Step 1. (Proposition 4.1) The transposed basic matrix dilogarithms $\mathcal{L}^T_N(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w})\colon V_3 \otimes V_1 \to V_2 \otimes V_0$ in (9) intertwin standard local representations of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$, $\mathfrak{T}^q_{\lambda'}$, where λ, λ' are the ideal triangulations of the squares Q, Q' in Figure 2.

Step 2. (Lemma 4.2) Consider the operator $Q^{r(F)}_N$ defined in (6). Identify $V^*_{F_s}$ with $V_{F_s} = \mathbb{C}^N$, and similarly for $V^*_{F_t}$. Then the transposed operator

$$(Q^T_N)^{r(F)} : V_{F_s} \to V_{F_t}$$

intertwins representations of the triangle algebra $\rho_s\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathrm{End}(V_{F_s})$, $\rho_t\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathrm{End}(V_{F_t})$, associated to the abstract 2-faces F_s, F_t so that for each one the generator Y_0 labels the edge joining the lowest vertex to the middle one (with respect to the vertex ordering induced by the branching), and Y_1 labels the edge joining the lowest vertex to the biggest one.

Step 3. (Proposition 4.4) Any QH-triangulation $(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w})$ of the cylinder C_ϕ determines standard local representations $\rho_j\colon \mathfrak{T}^q_{\lambda_j} \to \mathrm{End}((\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m})$ associated to the surfaces (S, λ_j), for every $j \in \{0, \dots, k\}$. They belong to a local representation ρ of the quantum Teichmüller space $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$, and by Step 1 and 2, the transposed reduced QH-operator $\mathcal{H}^{red}_N(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w})^T$, where $\mathcal{H}^{red}_N(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w})$ is defined by (7), intertwins the local representations ρ_0 and ρ_k.

Step 3 and 2 prove respectively Theorem 1.1 (1) and (2). The details follow.

4.1.1. *Step 1.* Consider the squares Q, Q' and the branched tetrahedron (Δ, b) in Figure 2. Use the branching to order as e_0, e_1, e_2 the edges of any triangle of the squares Q, Q', so that e_0 goes from the lowest vertex to the middle one, and e_1 goes from the lowest vertex to the biggest one. In such a situation there are two embeddings (15), of the form $\mathfrak{i}_\lambda : \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda \to \mathcal{T}_3 \otimes \mathcal{T}_1$, $\mathfrak{i}_{\lambda'} : \mathfrak{T}^q_{\lambda'} \to \mathcal{T}_2 \otimes \mathcal{T}_0$, where $\mathcal{T}_i$ is the copy of the triangle algebra associated to the i-th 2-face (ie. opposite to the i-th vertex) of (Δ, b), and

$$\mathfrak{i}_\lambda(X_1) = Y^3_0 \otimes 1, \mathfrak{i}_\lambda(X_2) = 1 \otimes Y^1_1, \mathfrak{i}_\lambda(X_3) = 1 \otimes Y^1_2, \mathfrak{i}_\lambda(X_4) = Y^3_2 \otimes 1, \mathfrak{i}_\lambda(X_5) = Y^3_1 \otimes Y^1_0.$$

$$\mathfrak{i}_{\lambda'}(X'_1) = Y^2_0 \otimes 1, \mathfrak{i}_{\lambda'}(X'_2) = Y^2_1 \otimes 1, \mathfrak{i}_{\lambda'}(X'_3) = 1 \otimes Y^0_2, \mathfrak{i}_{\lambda'}(X'_4) = 1 \otimes Y^0_0, \mathfrak{i}_{\lambda'}(X'_5) = Y^2_2 \otimes Y^0_1.$$

Note that Y^i_j is associated to the edge e_j of the i-th 2-face of (Δ, b), that we have specified above. Recall the notion of standard local representation in Section 3.3.2.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\rho_\lambda = (\rho_3 \otimes \rho_1) \circ \mathfrak{i}_\lambda\colon \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda \to \mathrm{End}(V_3 \otimes V_1)$ be a standard local representation, and $\mathbf{y}^i_j$ be such that $\rho_i(Y^i_j) = \mathbf{y}^i_j A_j$, for $i \in \{1, 3\}$, $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Let $\mathbf{w}$ be a triple of quantum shape parameters of the branched tetrahedron (Δ, b) such that $\mathbf{w}_2 = -q\mathbf{y}^3_1\mathbf{y}^1_0$. Then, for all $X \in \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$ we have*

$$\mathcal{L}^T_N(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w}) \circ \rho_\lambda(X) = \rho_{\lambda'}((\varphi^q_{\lambda\lambda'})^{-1}(X)) \circ \mathcal{L}^T_N(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w}) \tag{23}$$

where $\rho_{\lambda'} = (\rho_2 \otimes \rho_0) \circ \mathfrak{i}_\lambda\colon \mathfrak{T}^q_{\lambda'} \to \mathrm{End}(V_2 \otimes V_0)$ is the standard representation given by

$$\mathbf{y}^2_0 = \mathbf{w}_0^{-1}\mathbf{y}^3_0 \quad , \quad \mathbf{y}^2_1 = \mathbf{w}_1^{-1}\mathbf{y}^1_1 \quad , \quad \mathbf{y}^0_0 = \mathbf{w}_1^{-1}\mathbf{y}^3_2 \quad , \quad \mathbf{y}^0_2 = \mathbf{w}_0^{-1}\mathbf{y}^1_2 \quad , \quad \mathbf{y}^2_2\mathbf{y}^0_1 = -q\mathbf{w}_2^{-1}. \tag{24}$$

Note that $\mathbf{w}_2$ and $w_1 = \mathbf{w}_1^N = (1 - \mathbf{w}_2^N)^{-1}$ and $w_0 = 1 - w_1^{-1}$ are determined by ρ_λ, but the choice of N-th root $\mathbf{w}_1$ (or $\mathbf{w}_0$) is free; this choice determines $\mathbf{w}$, and hence $\rho_{\lambda'}$, completely by the relation $\mathbf{w}_0\mathbf{w}_1\mathbf{w}_2 = -q$. Also, we have $\rho_\lambda(X_5) = \mathbf{y}^3_1\mathbf{y}^1_0 A_1 \otimes A_0 = -q^{-1}\mathbf{w}_2 A_1 \otimes A_0$, $\rho_{\lambda'}(X'_5) = -q\mathbf{w}_2^{-1} A_2 \otimes A_1$, so that

$$\rho_\lambda(X_5^N) = -w_2 I_N \otimes I_N, \rho_{\lambda'}((X'_5)^N) = -w_2^{-1} I_N \otimes I_N.$$

Proof. It is enough to check (23) for $X \in \{X_1, \dots, X_5\}$, using the relations (13). Let us do the cases $X = X_5$ and X_4, the other cases being respectively similar to this last. For $X = X_5$ the identity (23) reads

$$\mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w}) \circ (\mathbf{y}_0^1 \mathbf{y}_1^3 A_1 \otimes A_0) = (\mathbf{y}_1^0 \mathbf{y}_2^2 A_2 \otimes A_1)^{-1} \circ \mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w}).$$

Consider the factorization formula (9). We have $(A_2 \otimes A_1)^{-1} = q A_1 A_0 \otimes A_1^{-1}$, so it commutes with $\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(-A_0 A_1 \otimes A_1^{-1})$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathbf{y}_1^0 \mathbf{y}_2^2 A_2 \otimes A_1)^{-1} \circ \mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w}) = \\
&\quad = \Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(-A_0 A_1 \otimes A_1^{-1}) \circ (q(\mathbf{y}_1^0 \mathbf{y}_2^2)^{-1} A_1 A_0 \otimes A_1^{-1}) \circ \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=0}^{N-1} q^{-2ij-j} A_0^i \otimes (A_1 A_0)^{-j} \right) \\
&\quad = \Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(-A_0 A_1 \otimes A_1^{-1}) \circ \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=0}^{N-1} q^{-2(i+1)(j+1)-(j+1)+3} A_0^i \otimes (A_1 A_0)^{-j} \right) \circ \\
&\qquad\qquad \circ (q(\mathbf{y}_1^0 \mathbf{y}_2^2)^{-1} A_1 A_0 \otimes A_1^{-1}) \\
&\quad = \mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w}) \circ (q^3 A_0^{-1} \otimes A_1 A_0) \circ (q(\mathbf{y}_1^0 \mathbf{y}_2^2)^{-1} A_1 A_0 \otimes A_1^{-1}) \\
&\quad = \mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w}) \circ ((\mathbf{y}_1^0 \mathbf{y}_2^2)^{-1} A_1 \otimes A_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the identity (23) holds true for $X = X_5$ whenever

$$(\mathbf{y}_1^0 \mathbf{y}_2^2)^{-1} = \mathbf{y}_0^1 \mathbf{y}_1^3 = -q^{-1} \mathbf{w}_2. \tag{25}$$

For $X = X_4$ the identity (23) reads

$$\mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w}) \circ (\mathbf{y}_2^3 A_2 \otimes I_N) = (1 + q \mathbf{y}_2^2 \mathbf{y}_1^0 A_2 \otimes A_1) \circ (I_N \otimes \mathbf{y}_0^0 A_0) \circ \mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w}). \tag{26}$$

Now we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w}) \circ (\mathbf{y}_2^3 A_2 \otimes I_N) &= \Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(-A_0 A_1 \otimes A_1^{-1}) \circ (\mathbf{y}_2^3 A_2 \otimes I_N) \circ \\
&\qquad \circ \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=0}^{N-1} q^{-2i(j+1)-j} A_0^i \otimes (A_1 A_0)^{-j} \right) \\
&= \Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(-A_0 A_1 \otimes A_1^{-1}) \circ (q \mathbf{y}_2^3 A_2 \otimes A_1 A_0) \circ \Upsilon \\
&= (q \mathbf{y}_2^3 A_2 \otimes A_1 A_0) \circ \Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(q^2(-A_0 A_1 \otimes A_1^{-1})) \circ \Upsilon \\
&= (q \mathbf{y}_2^3 A_2 \otimes A_1 A_0) \circ (\mathbf{w}_0 + q^2 \mathbf{w}_1^{-1} A_1 A_0 \otimes A_1^{-1}) \circ \\
&\qquad \circ \Psi_{\mathbf{w}}(-A_0 A_1 \otimes A_1^{-1}) \circ \Upsilon \\
&= (1 + \mathbf{w}_0 \mathbf{w}_1 (A_1 A_0)^{-1} \otimes A_1) \circ (\mathbf{w}_1^{-1} A_1 A_0 \otimes A_1^{-1}) \circ \\
&\qquad \circ (q \mathbf{y}_2^3 A_2 \otimes A_1 A_0) \circ \mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w}) \\
&= (1 - q^2 \mathbf{w}_2^{-1} A_2 \otimes A_1) \circ (I_N \otimes \mathbf{w}_1^{-1} \mathbf{y}_2^3 A_0) \circ \mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we used the relation (11) in the fourth equality. Hence, using (25) we see that (23) holds true for $X = X_4$ whenever $\mathbf{y}_0^0 = \mathbf{w}_1^{-1} \mathbf{y}_2^3$. The other cases $X = X_1$, X_2 or X_3 are similar. □

4.1.2. *Step 2.* Consider QH-tetrahedra $(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w})$, $(\Delta', b', \mathbf{w}')$ glued along a 2-face F. Denote as usual by F_s, F_t the abstract 2-faces of Δ, Δ' corresponding to F. Recall that the vertices v_0, v_1, v_2 of F_s and F_t are ordered by the branchings b and b' respectively; the gluing $F_s \to F_t$ is encoded by an even permutation $p := \sigma^{r(F)}$ of the vertices, where σ is the 2-cycle $(v_0 v_1 v_2)$ and $r(F) \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. Assume that a standard representation of the triangle algebra $\rho_s \colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathrm{End}(V_{F_s})$ is given on F_s, where the generators Y_0 labels the edge $[v_0, v_1]$, and Y_1 labels the edge $[v_0, v_2]$. Using the gluing, ρ_s is the pull-back of a standard representation $\rho_t \colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathrm{End}(V_{F_t})$ on F_t, where now Y_0 labels the edge $[v_{p(0)}, v_{p(1)}]$, and Y_1 labels the edge $[v_{p(0)}, v_{p(2)}]$. So Y_i on F_s corresponds to $Y_{p^{-1}(i)}$ on F_t. Recall the endomorphism $Q_N^{r(F)} : V_{F_s} \to V_{F_t}$ defined in (6). Consider the transposed endomorphism

$$(Q_N^T)^{r(F)} : V_{F_s}^* \to V_{F_t}^*.$$

As usual, identify $V_{F_s}^*$ with $V_{F_s} = \mathbb{C}^N$ via the canonical basis, and similarly for $V_{F_t}^*$.

Lemma 4.2. *The transposed endomorphism $(Q_N^T)^{r(F)}$ intertwins ρ_s and ρ_t: for all X in $\mathcal{T}$ we have $(Q_N^T)^{r(F)} \circ \rho_s(X) = \rho_t(X) \circ (Q_N^T)^{r(F)}$.*

Proof. It is enough to check this on generators, where it follows from $Q_N^T A_i (Q_N^T)^{-1} = A_{i-1}$ (indices mod(3)). This is straightforward to check. □

Note that Q_N has order 3 up to multiplication by 4-th roots of 1 (see [5], Lemma 7.3: we have $Q_N^3 = \phi_N^{-1} I_N$, where $\phi_N = \left(\frac{m+1}{N}\right)$ if $N \equiv 1 \bmod(4)$, and $\phi_N = \left(\frac{m+1}{N}\right) i$ if $N \equiv 3 \bmod(4)$).

4.1.3. *Step 3.* Let $(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w})$ be a QH-triangulation of the cylinder C_ϕ. Recall that for every $j \in \{0, \dots, k\}$, the triangulated surface (S, λ_j) inherits a weak branching $\tilde{b}_j$ from its positive side in $(T, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w})$. Order as $\tau_1^j, \dots, \tau_{2m}^j$ the branched abstract triangles of $(\lambda_j, \tilde{b}_j)$. For every $i \in \{1, \dots, 2m\}$, order as e_0^i, e_1^i, e_2^i the edges of the triangle τ_i^j, as described before Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. Label e_k^i with the generator Y_k^i of a copy of the triangle algebra $\mathcal{T}$.

Assume that for some $j \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ we are given a standard local representation

$$\rho_j = (\rho_1^j \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{2m}^j) \circ i_{\lambda_j} : \mathfrak{T}_{\lambda_j}^q \to \mathrm{End}((\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m})$$

where the standard representations $\rho_i^j : \mathcal{T} \to \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ are associated to the triangles τ_i^j. As in (16), put $\rho_i^j(Y_k) := \mathbf{y}_{i,k}^j A_k$. By the very definition of $\mathfrak{i}_{\lambda_j}$ (see (15)), for any edge e_s of λ_j, with edge generator $X_s \in \mathfrak{T}_{\lambda_j}^q$, we have (as usual we denote a monomial $\otimes_j A_j$ in $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes 2m}$ by omitting the terms $A_j = 1$):

(a) $\rho_j(X_s) = \mathbf{y}_{l_i,a_i}^j \mathbf{y}_{r_i,b_i}^j A_{a_i}^{l_i} \otimes A_{b_i}^{r_i}$, if e_s is an edge of two distinct triangles $\tau_{l_i}^j$ and $\tau_{r_i}^j$, and $e_{a_i}^{l_i}$, $e_{b_i}^{r_i}$ are the edges of $\tau_{l_i}^j$, $\tau_{r_i}^j$ respectively identified to e_s.

(b) $\rho_j(X_s) = q^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{k_i,a_i}^j \mathbf{y}_{k_i,b_i}^j A_{a_i}^{k_i} A_{b_i}^{k_i}$, if e_s is an edge of a single triangle $\tau_{k_i}^j$, and $e_{a_i}^{k_i}$, $e_{b_i}^{k_i}$ are the edges of $\tau_{k_i}^j$ identified to e_s, with $e_{a_i}^{k_i}$ on the right of $e_{b_i}^{k_i}$.

Consider the diagonal exchange $\lambda_j \to \lambda_{j+1}$, and the corresponding triangulated (abstract) squares Q and Q', as in Figure 1. The standard representations $\rho_i^j : \mathcal{T} \to \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ associated to the triangles of Q define a standard local representation ρ_Q of $\mathfrak{T}_{\lambda_j|Q}^q$. Denote by $\rho_{Q'}$ the standard local representation of $\mathfrak{T}_{\lambda_{j+1}|Q'}^q$ defined from ρ_Q by the relations (24), where $(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w})$ is the QH-tetrahedron of $(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w})$ bounded by Q and Q'; we describe below the relations between $\mathbf{w}$ and ρ_j (and hence ρ_Q). The representation $\rho_{Q'}$ extends (by fusion) to a standard local representation

$$\rho_{j+1} = (\rho_1^{j+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{2m}^{j+1}) \circ i_{\lambda_j} : \mathfrak{T}_{\lambda_{j+1}}^q \to \mathrm{End}((\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m})$$

by stipulating that $\rho_i^{j+1} = \rho_i^j$ on common triangles of (S, λ_j) and (S, λ_{j+1}). Since (S, λ_{j+1}) is given the weak-branching $\tilde{b}_{j+1}$ induced by its positive side in $(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w})$, for every triangle τ_i^{j+1} of (S, λ_{j+1}) where $\tilde{b}_{j+1}$ differs from the weak-branching given by (S, λ_j) or Q', replace the corresponding representation ρ_i^{j+1} by $(Q_N^T)^{r(F)} \circ \rho_s(X) \circ (Q_N^T)^{-r(F)}$, as in Lemma 4.2. For simplicity, we keep however the same notation for ρ_{j+1}. Define

$$L_{\lambda_j \lambda_{j+1}}^{\rho_j \rho_{j+1}} : \mathrm{End}((\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m}) \to \mathrm{End}((\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m})$$

as the operator acting as the identity on all factors except on the representation space $\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^N \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m}$ of ρ_Q, where it acts by $((Q_N^T)^{r(F_2)} \otimes (Q_N^T)^{r(F_0)}) \circ \mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w})$. Here, as usual we denote by F_2 and F_0 the 2-faces supporting the target space of $\mathcal{L}_N^T(\Delta, b, \mathbf{w})$. By construction, for every $X \in \mathfrak{T}_{\lambda_{j+1}}^q$ we have

$$L_{\lambda_j \lambda_{j+1}}^{\rho_j \rho_{j+1}} \circ \rho_j \circ \varphi_{\lambda_j \lambda_{j+1}}^q(X') \circ (L_{\lambda_j \lambda_{j+1}}^{\rho_j \rho_{j+1}})^{-1} = \rho_{j+1}(X). \tag{27}$$

Finally, assuming that a standard local representation ρ_j is given for $j = 0$, we can define inductively a sequence of standard local representation ρ_j, $j = 0, \dots, k$, and

$$L_{\lambda_0, \lambda_k}^{\rho_0, \rho_k} := L_{\lambda_{k-1} \lambda_k}^{\rho_{k-1} \rho_k} \circ \dots \circ L_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1}^{\rho_0 \rho_1}.$$

Comparing with (7) we see that

$$L^{\rho_0,\rho_k}_{\lambda_0,\lambda_k} = \mathcal{H}^{red}_N(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})^T.$$

Now we have to specialize the choice of ρ_0, structurally related to the triangulation $(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$. Similarly to the lateral shape parameters $W^+_j(e)$, put:

Definition 4.3. For every edge e of (S,λ_j), the *lateral quantum shape parameter* $\mathbf{W}^+_j(e)$ is the product of the quantum shape parameters $\mathbf{w}(E)$ of the abstract edges $E \to e$ carried by tetrahedra lying on the positive side of (S,λ_j). The *quantum shear-bend coordinates* of (S,λ_j) are the scalars

$$\mathbf{x}^j(e) := -q^{-1}\mathbf{W}^+_j(e).$$

By Proposition 3.2, the quantum shear-bend coordinates $\mathbf{x}^j(e)$ form a system of Nth-roots of the shear-bend coordinates $x^j(e)$ of λ_j. By fixing w and varying the fused flat/charge d used to define the system $\mathbf{w}$ in the tuple $(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$, one obtains a family of systems $\{\mathbf{x}^j(e)\}_{e\in\lambda^{(1)}_j}$, all satisfying $\mathbf{x}_0(e) = \mathbf{x}_k(\phi(e))$ for every edge e of $\lambda = \lambda_0$.

The QH-triangulation $(T_{C_\phi},\tilde{b},\mathbf{w})$ determines $\mathbf{x}^j(e_s)$ for all $j \in \{0,\dots,k\}$ and $e_s \in \lambda^{(1)}_j$. Consider the case $j = 0$, and the regular map

$$\pi_0 \colon \{\mathbf{y}^0 = (\mathbf{y}^0_{i,k})_{i,k}\} \to \{\mathbf{x}^0 = (\mathbf{x}^0(e_s))_{e_s\in\lambda^{(1)}_0}\}$$

defined by

$$\mathbf{x}^0(e_s) = \mathbf{y}^0_{l_i,a_i}\mathbf{y}^0_{r_i,b_i} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{y}^0_{k_i,a_i}\mathbf{y}^0_{k_i,b_i} \tag{28}$$

according to the cases (a) or (b) described at the beginning of Step 3. A simple dimensional count shows that π_0 is surjective, with fiber generically isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{3m}$. Then, take a point $\mathbf{y}^0 \in \pi_0^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^0)$. We get

$$\rho_0(X_s) = \mathbf{x}^0(e_s)A^{l_i}_{a_i}\otimes A^{r_i}_{b_i} \quad \text{or} \quad \rho_0(X_s) = q^{-1}\mathbf{x}^0(e_s)A^{k_i}_{a_i}A^{k_i}_{b_i} \tag{29}$$

again according to the cases (a) or (b) above. We have to check that the sequence of local representations ρ_j constructed by starting from ρ_0 is consistent, that is, compatible with $\mathbf{w}$ under the diagonal exchanges. Using the notations of Figure 2, by the tetrahedral and edge relations satisfied by the quantum shape parameters, for all $j \in \{0,\dots,k\}$ we have

$$\mathbf{x}^j(e_5) = -q^{-1}\mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{x}^{j+1}(e_5) = -q\mathbf{w}_2^{-1} \tag{30}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{x}^{j+1}(e_1) = \mathbf{x}^j(e_1)\mathbf{w}_0^{-1} & , & \mathbf{x}^{j+1}(e_2) = \mathbf{x}^j(e_2)\mathbf{w}_1^{-1} \\ \mathbf{x}^{j+1}(e_3) = \mathbf{x}^j(e_3)\mathbf{w}_0^{-1} & , & \mathbf{x}^{j+1}(e_4) = \mathbf{x}^j(e_4)\mathbf{w}_1^{-1}. \end{array} \tag{31}$$

In particular, (30) for $j = 0$ is consistent with the relation $\mathbf{w}_2 = -q\mathbf{y}^3_1\mathbf{y}^1_0$ required by Proposition 4.1 (where $\mathbf{y}^3_1 = \mathbf{y}^0_{r_i,b_i}$ and $\mathbf{y}^1_0 = \mathbf{y}^0_{l_i,a_i}$). Then, comparing (31) and (24) we see that for every $j \in \{0,\dots,k\}$, ρ_j is defined by the identities (29) with $\mathbf{x}^0$ replaced by $\mathbf{x}^j$.

By (27), the representations $\rho_0,\dots,\rho_k$ belong to a local representation $\rho = \{\rho_\lambda : \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda \to \mathrm{End}(V_\lambda)\}_\lambda$ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$. By (17), (29) and the similar identities for ρ_j, we see that the parameters of ρ_j are

$$(\{x^j(e)\}_{e\in\lambda^{(1)}_j}, h)$$

where $x^j(e) = (\mathbf{x}^j(e))^N$ and

$$h = \mathbf{x}^j(e_1)\dots\mathbf{x}^j(e_n). \tag{32}$$

For this identity, first note that the right hand side, say h^j, does not depend on j. Indeed, by (30), (31) and the tetrahedral and edge relations for $\mathbf{w}_0$, $\mathbf{w}_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2$, we see that

$$\textstyle\prod_{i=1}^5 \mathbf{x}^j(e_i) = \prod_{i=1}^5 \mathbf{x}^{j+1}(e_i)$$

under a diagonal exchange. Since the quantum shear-bend coordinates of edges not touched by a diagonal exchange are not altered, we deduce that $h^j = h^{j+1}$ for every $j = 0,\dots,k-1$. Now we can check (32) as follows. Consider a triangle τ^j_s of λ_j, with edges X_i, X_j, X_k, where $i < j < k$.

The weak branching $\tilde{b}$ provides a bijection $p\colon \{i,j,k\} \mapsto \{0,1,2\}$. Then $\mathfrak{i}_{\lambda_j}(H)$ is a tensor product of monomials associated to the triangles of λ_j, and the monomial for τ_s^j is $q^{-\sigma_{|\tau_s^j}} Y^s_{p(i)} Y^s_{p(j)} Y^s_{p(k)}$ where $-\sigma_{|\tau_s^j}$ is the contribution to the summand $-\sigma_{ij} - \sigma_{ik} - \sigma_{jk}$ of $-\sum_{l<l'} \sigma_{ll'}$ coming from the triangle τ_s^j. Noting that the product $Y_0^s Y_1^s Y_2^s$ is invariant under cyclic permutations, one checks immediately that $q^{-\sigma_{|\tau_j^l}} Y^s_{p(i)} Y^s_{p(j)} Y^s_{p(k)} = q^{-1} Y_0^s Y_1^s Y_2^s$. So

$$\mathfrak{i}_{\lambda_j}(H) = \otimes_{s=1}^{2m} (q^{-1} Y_0^s Y_1^s Y_2^s)$$

and hence

$$\rho_j(H) = \otimes_{s=1}^{2m} (\mathbf{y}_0^s \mathbf{y}_1^s \mathbf{y}_2^s q^{-1} A_0^s A_1^s A_2^s) = \mathbf{x}^j(e_1) \dots \mathbf{x}^j(e_n) \mathrm{Id}_{(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m}}.$$

Summarizing our discussion, we have proved the following result.

Proposition 4.4. *The QH triangulation $(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w})$ determines a sequence of standard local representations $\rho_j\colon \mathfrak{T}^q_{\lambda_j} \to \mathrm{End}((\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m})$ associated to the triangulated surfaces (S, λ_j), with parameters*

$$(\{x^j(e)\}_{e \in \lambda_j^{(1)}}, h), \ h = \mathbf{x}^j(e_1) \dots \mathbf{x}^j(e_n),$$

and belonging to a local representation of $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}^{red}_N(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w})^T$ intertwins the representations ρ_0 and ρ_k.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.**

4.2.1. *Proof of Theorem 1.2 (1).* Let $\rho\colon \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda \to \mathrm{End}((\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m})$ be a local representation with parameters $(\{x(e)\}_{e \in \lambda^{(1)}}, h)$. Recall Proposition 3.1 and the discussion that follows. Assume that the augmented character $[(r, \{\xi_\Gamma\}_\Gamma)]$ associated to ρ lies in $i^*(X_0(M_\phi))$, and can be encoded by a system of shape parameters $w \in A_0 \subset A$, the simply connected open neighborhood of w_h in $G(T, \tilde{b})$ chosen before Remark 3.4. Then we can lift w to a system of quantum shape parameters $\mathbf{w} \in A_{0,N}$, and using the QH-triangulation $(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \mathbf{w})$, we can define a local representation $\rho_0'\colon \mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda \to \mathrm{End}((\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2m})$ as in the proof of Proposition 4.4. It has parameters $(\{x^0(e)\}_{e \in \lambda^{(1)}}, h')$, where $h' = \mathbf{x}^0(e_1) \dots \mathbf{x}^0(e_n)$. In order that $\rho_0' \cong \rho$, it remains to show that we can choose $\mathbf{w}$ so that $h' = h$. But $(h')^N = x^0(e_1) \dots x^0(e_n) = h^N$, so $h' = \zeta h$ for some N-th root of unity ζ. On the other hand, by (20) and Theorem 3.5 (1) we have

$$(h')^{2N} = a_1^2 \dots a_r^2 = \pm \kappa_N(\mathbf{w})(m_1)^N \dots \kappa_N(\mathbf{w})(m_r)^N.$$

Moreover, there is $\mathbf{w}' \in A_{0,N}$ so that $\kappa_N(\mathbf{w}')(m_1) \dots \kappa_N(\mathbf{w}')(m_r)$ is any given N-th root of $a_1^2 \dots a_r^2$. Let ζ' be the N-th root of unity such that $(h')^2 = \zeta' \kappa_N(\mathbf{w})(m_1) \dots \kappa_N(\mathbf{w})(m_r)$. By using (5) it is easy to check that

$$\kappa_N(\mathbf{w})(m_j) = (-q^{-1})^{t_j} \prod_{p_j \in \partial e_s} \mathbf{W}_j^+(e_s)$$

where t_j is the number of spikes of the triangles of λ at the j-th puncture p_j of S, and the product is over all the edges e_s, counted with multiplicity, having p_j as an endpoint. Recalling that $n = 3m = -3\chi(S)$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_N(\mathbf{w})(m_1) \dots \kappa_N(\mathbf{w})(m_r) &= (-q^{-1})^{3.2m} (\mathbf{W}_j^+(e_1) \dots \mathbf{W}_j^+(e_n))^2 \\ &= (-q^{-1})^{3.2m} ((-q)^{3m} \mathbf{x}^0(e_1) \dots \mathbf{x}^0(e_n))^2 = (h')^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\zeta' = 1$. Then take $\mathbf{w}' \in A_{0,N}$ so that $\kappa_N(\mathbf{w}')(m_1) \dots \kappa_N(\mathbf{w}')(m_r) = (h')^2 \zeta^{-2}$. The load h'' of the representation ρ_0'' associated to $\mathbf{w}'$ satisfies $(h'')^2 = (h')^2 \zeta^{-2} = h^2$. Since N is odd, and again $(h'')^N = h^N$, eventually $h = h''$.

4.2.2. *Proof of Theorem 1.2 (2).* We need to describe the general form of the QT intertwiners associated to sequences of diagonal exchanges $\lambda \to \ldots \to \lambda'$, for arbitrary standard local representations ρ_λ, $\rho_{\lambda'}$ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$, $\mathfrak{T}^q_{\lambda'}$. This is done in Lemma 4.7. For that, we reconsider the arguments of the proof of Theorem 1.1 (1). First, we look at the case of a diagonal exchange $\lambda \to \lambda'$, occuring in squares Q, Q' as in Figure 2. We fix standard local representations ρ_λ, $\rho_{\lambda'}$ of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$, $\mathfrak{T}^q_{\lambda'}$ such that $\rho_{\lambda'} \circ (\varphi^q_{\lambda\lambda'})^{-1}$ is isomorphic to ρ_λ, and differs from it only on Q, Q', where ρ_λ, $\rho_{\lambda'}$ are represented by irreducible representations ρ_j of the triangle algebra with parameters $\mathbf{y}^j_k$, where $j \in \{0,1,2,3\}$ and $k \in \{0,1,2\}$.

Lemma 4.5. *With the above notations we have*

$$\mathbf{y}^3_0/\mathbf{y}^2_0 = \mathbf{y}^1_2/\mathbf{y}^0_2 \ , \ \mathbf{y}^1_1/\mathbf{y}^2_1 = \mathbf{y}^3_2/\mathbf{y}^0_0 \ , \ \mathbf{y}^3_1\mathbf{y}^1_0 = (\mathbf{y}^2_2\mathbf{y}^0_1)^{-1}. \tag{33}$$

Moreover, denoting these scalars by $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_0$, $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2$ respectively, $(w_0, w_1, w_2) := (\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^N_0, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^N_1, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^N_2)$ is a triple of shape parameters on (Δ, b), that is $w_{i+1} = (1-w_i)^{-1}$ for $i = 0, 1$.

We say that $(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2)$ is a triple of *very rough* q-shape parameters on (Δ, b). Note that, in general, the product $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_0\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_1\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2$ can be any N-th root of -1, the special case of $-q$ being achieved by the q-shape parameters.

Proof. We prove first the second claim, that is, the N-th powers of the scalars set equal in (33) are indeed equal, and form a triple of shape parameters (w_0, w_1, w_2). By the relations (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi^q_{\lambda\lambda'}((X'_5)^N) = \varphi^q_{\lambda\lambda'}((X'_5))^N = X_5^{-N}\\
&\varphi^q_{\lambda\lambda'}((X'_1)^N) = \varphi^q_{\lambda\lambda'}(X'_1)^N = (X_1 + qX_5X_1)^N = X_1^N + X_5^N X_1^N\\
&\varphi^q_{\lambda\lambda'}((X'_2)^N) = \varphi^q_{\lambda\lambda'}(X'_2)^N = (X_2^{-1} + qX_2^{-1}X_5^{-1})^{-N} = (X_2^{-N} + X_2^{-N}X_5^{-N})^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and a relation like the second for X'_3, X_3, and like the fourth for X'_4, X_4. Note that we use the q-binomial formula and the fact that q^2 is a primitive N-th root of 1, N odd, to deduce the results. Hence the shear-bend parameters x_i, x'_i at the edges e_i, $i \in \{1, \ldots, 5\}$, satisfy

$$x'_5 = x_5^{-1} \ , \ x'_1 = x_1(1+x_5) \ , \ x'_3 = x_3(1+x_5) \ , \ x'_2 = x_2(1+x_5^{-1})^{-1} \ , \ x'_4 = x_4(1+x_5^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Set $x_5 = -w_2$. By a mere rewriting of formulas, it follows easily from this, (28) and $\mathbf{x}(e_s)^N = x(e_s)$ for all edges e_s, that

$$\begin{aligned}
-w_2 &= (\mathbf{y}^3_1\mathbf{y}^1_0)^N = (\mathbf{y}^2_2\mathbf{y}^0_1)^{-N}\\
w_0 &= x_1/x'_1 = x_3/x'_3 = (\mathbf{y}^3_0/\mathbf{y}^2_0)^N = (\mathbf{y}^1_2/\mathbf{y}^0_2)^N\\
w_1 &= x_2/x'_2 = x_4/x'_4 = \mathbf{y}^1_1/\mathbf{y}^2_1)^N = (\mathbf{y}^3_2/\mathbf{y}^0_0)^N.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

and $w_{i+1} = (1-w_i)^{-1}$ for $i = 0, 1$. Now we prove (33). Let us reconsider Proposition 4.1 in the present situation. Instead of ρ_λ and the system $(\mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)$ of quantum shape parameters on (Δ, b), it is the pair of local representations ρ_λ, $\rho_{\lambda'}$ that are given on Q, Q', and we are looking for $(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2)$ such that (23) holds true. Again (23) yields the first equality of (25), which is the last relation of (33), without implying any constraints on $(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2)$. Then, use it to define $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2$ by the same formula. Replacing all uses of the relation (11) by (10) in the other computations defines $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_0 = \mathbf{y}^3_0/\mathbf{y}^2_0$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_1 = \mathbf{y}^1_1/\mathbf{y}^2_1$, and proves the first two identities in (33). For instance, in the case of $X = X_4$ the result becomes

$$\mathcal{L}^T_N(\Delta, b, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}) \circ (\mathbf{y}^3_2 A_2 \otimes I_N) = (1 - q^2\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2^{-1}A_2 \otimes A_1) \circ (I_N \otimes (-q^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_0\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2\mathbf{y}^3_2 A_0) \circ \mathcal{L}^T_N(\Delta, b, \tilde{\mathbf{w}})$$

and hence (26) holds true whenever $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_0 = -q\mathbf{y}^0_0/\mathbf{y}^3_2\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2$. The other cases are similar. □

Now we have:

Proposition 4.6. (See [7, 10]) *Assume that S is an ideal polygon with $p \geq 3$ vertices. Then for every ideal triangulation λ of S, every local representation of $\mathfrak{T}^q_\lambda$ is irreducible.*

In the situation of the lemma we have the ideal squares Q, Q', and so the proposition implies that $\mathcal{L}^T_N(\Delta, b, \tilde{\mathbf{w}})$ is a representative of the unique projective class of intertwiners from ρ_λ to $\rho_{\lambda'} \circ (\varphi^q_{\lambda\lambda'})^{-1}$. More generally, let λ, λ' be ideal triangulations of S connected by a sequence of diagonal exchanges, and let ρ, ρ' be isomorphic standard local representations of $\mathfrak{T}^q_S$. Then every QT intertwiner $L^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}$ between ρ_λ and $\rho'_{\lambda'}$ has a representative of the form (22), where $L^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda_i\lambda_{i+1}} = \mathcal{L}^T_N(\Delta, b, \tilde{\mathbf{w}})$ for some

system $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} := (\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2)$ of very rough q-shape parameters on the tetrahedron (Δ, b) associated to the i-th diagonal exchange. Proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 4.4 we deduce that:

Lemma 4.7. *Every projective class of QT intertwiner in $\mathcal{L}^{\rho\rho'}_{\lambda\lambda'}$ is represented by a QH state sum $\mathcal{H}^{red}_N(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}})^T$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$ is a system of very rough q-shape parameters on T_{C_ϕ}.*

The proof of Theorem 1.2 (2) then follows by analysing the invariance properties of the QH state sums $\mathcal{H}^{red}_N(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}})$. Such an analysis has been done in [4] and [5] in the case of the unreduced QH state sums on closed pseudo-manifold triangulations. It applies verbatim to mapping cylinders, and to the reduced QH state sums by combining the results of Section 5 of [4] with Proposition 6.3 (1) and 8.3 of [6].

The result is that $\mathcal{H}^{red}_N(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}})$ depends only on the trace of $(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}})$ on the boundary components of C_ϕ if and only if it is invariant under any layered '$2 \leftrightarrow 3$ transit' or 'lune transit' of $(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}})$; such transits enhance to $\tilde{b}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$ the usual $2 \leftrightarrow 3$ and lune moves between layered triangulations (which correspond to the pentagon and square relations for diagonal exchanges between surface triangulations). This happens if and only if $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$ satisfies the tetrahedral and edge relations along every interior edge of T_{C_ϕ}, that is, $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$ is a system of quantum shape parameters. For instance, the relation (25) implies that $\mathcal{H}^{red}_N(T_{C_\phi}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}})$ is unchanged if one modifies T_{C_ϕ} by introducing in the sequence $\lambda \to \ldots \to \lambda'$ two consecutive diagonal exchanges along an edge e, if and only if the total quantum shape parameter at e satisfies $\mathbf{W}(e) = q^2$.

References

[1] H. Bai, *A uniqueness property for the quantization of Teichmüller Spaces*, Geom. Dedicata 128:1 (2007) 1–16
[2] H. Bai, *Quantum Teichmüller space and Kashaev's 6j-symbols*, Alg. Geom. Topol. 7 (2007) 1541–1560
[3] S. Baseilhac, R. Benedetti, *Quantum Hyperbolic invariants of 3-manifolds with $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$-characters*, Topology 43 (6) (2004) 1373–1423
[4] S. Baseilhac, R. Benedetti, *Classical and quantum dilogarithmic invariants of flat $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$-bundles over 3-manifolds*, Geom. Topol. 9 (2005) 493–570
[5] S. Baseilhac, R. Benedetti, *Analytic families of quantum hyperbolic invariants*, Alg. Geom. Topol. 15 (2015) 1983–2063
[6] S. Baseilhac, R. Benedetti, *Non ambiguous structures on 3-manifolds and quantum symmetry defects*, to appear in Quantum Topology, arXiv:1506.01174v2 (2016)
[7] H. F. Bonahon, X. Liu, *Representations of the quantum Teichmüller space and invariants of surface diffeomorphisms*, Geom. Topol. 11 (2007) 889–937
[8] H. Bai, F. Bonahon, X. Liu, *Local representations of the quantum Teichmüller space*, arXiv:0707.2151 (2007)
[9] L.D. Faddeev, R.M. Kashaev, *Quantum dilogarithm*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 9 (5) (1994) 427–434
[10] F. Mazzoli, *Intertwining operators of the quantum Teichmüller space*, arXiv:1610.06056 (2016)
[11] B. Klaff, S. Tillmann, *A birationality result for character varieties*, Math. Res. Lett. (4) 23 (2016), 1099–1110
[12] J. Toulisse, *Irreducible decomposition for local representations of quantum Teichmüller space*, arXiv:1404.4938v2 (2016)